

Data Validation Reports

APPENDIX G-1

Trace Volatiles



ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

*Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300 Fax: (510) 412-2304*

MEMORANDUM

TO: *Chris Lichens, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 4, SFD-7-4*

THROUGH: *Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3*

FROM: *Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)*

*ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00105132*

DATE: *May 9, 2008*

SUBJECT: *Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3*

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

<i>Site:</i>	<i>Omega Chem OU2</i>
<i>Site Account No.:</i>	<i>09 BC QB02</i>
<i>CERCLIS ID NO.:</i>	<i>CAD042245001</i>
<i>Case No.:</i>	<i>37203</i>
<i>SDG No.:</i>	<i>Y3WK7</i>
<i>Laboratory:</i>	<i>Mitkem Laboratories (MITKEM)</i>
<i>Analysis:</i>	<i>Trace Volatiles</i>
<i>Samples:</i>	<i>20 Groundwater Samples (see Case Summary)</i>
<i>Collection Date:</i>	<i>February 28 and 29, 2008 and March 3, 2008</i>
<i>Reviewer:</i>	<i>Kendra DeSantolo, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants</i>

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: *Jennie Han-Liu, CLP PO USEPA Region 1
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9*

CLP PO: ☒ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☒ Yes ☐ No

00105132-9398/37203/Y3WK7-TV

Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 37203
SDG No.: Y3WK7
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: Mitkem Laboratories
Reviewer: Kendra DeSantolo, ESAT/LDC
Date: May 9, 2008

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y3WK7 through Y3WM6
Concentration and Matrix: Low/Medium Concentration Water
Analysis: Trace Volatiles
SOW: SOM01.2
Collection Date: February 28 and 29, 2008 and March 3, 2008
Sample Receipt Date: February 29, 2008 and March 1 and 4, 2008
Extraction Date: Not Applicable
Analysis Date: March 5, 6, 7, and 10, 2008

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Y3WM6
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not provided
Trip Blank (TB): Y3WL7
Background Samples (BG): Not provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Y3WL2 and Y3WL3
Field Duplicates (D2): Y3WM4 and Y3WM5

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:

VBLK5T: Y3WK7, Y3WK8, Y3WL1 through Y3WL3, Y3WL5, and Y3WL6
VBLK5U: Y3WK7DL, Y3WK8DL, Y3WK9, Y3WL0, Y3WL1DL, Y3WL2DL, Y3WL3DL, Y3WL4, Y3WL5DL, Y3WL6DL, and Y3WL7
VBLK5W: Y3WL8, Y3WL9, Y3WM0, Y3WM1, Y3WM3, Y3WM3MS, Y3WM3MSD, Y3WM4, Y3WM5, and Y3WM6
VBLK5X: Y3WM2
VBLKB5: Y3WM4DL and Y3WM5DL
VBLKC5: storage blank VHBLKC5

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review
2: Calibration Summary

CLP PO Action

None.

CLP PO Attention

1. Detected results for some analytes are qualified as nondetected and estimated (U,J) due to method blank and field blank contamination (see Comment B).
2. Results for some analytes are qualified as estimated (J) due to calibration problems (see Comments C and D).
3. Results for some analytes are qualified as estimated (J) due to deuterated monitoring compound (DMC) recovery problems (see Comment E).
4. Detected result for trans-1,2-dichloroethene in sample Y3WK7 is qualified as estimated (J) due to concentration exceeding calibration range (see Comment F).

Sampling Issues

Detected results for chloroform in samples Y3WM3, Y3WM4, and Y3WM5 are qualified as nondetected and estimated (U,J) due to field blank contamination (see Comment B).

Additional Comments

Other than a laboratory artifact (approximate retention time of 7.1 minutes), tentatively identified compounds (TICs) were found in samples Y3WK8 and Y3WL9 (see attached Form 1Js).

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, *Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages*;
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, *Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration*, SOM01.1, May 2005;
- *Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2*, Amended April 11, 2007; and
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, July 2007.

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
1.	Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
2.	GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
3.	Initial Calibration	No	C
4.	Continuing Calibration Verification	No	C, D
5.	Laboratory Blanks	No	B
6.	Field Blanks	Yes	
7.	Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	No	E
8.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	No	G
9.	Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate	N/A	
10.	Internal Standards	Yes	
11.	Compound Identification	Yes	
12.	COMPOUND QUANTITATION	YES	A, F, H
13.	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	YES	
14.	Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	Yes	

N/A = Not Applicable

III. Validity AND Comments

A. *The following results, denoted with an "L" qualifier, are estimated and flagged "J" in Table 1A.*

- All detected results below the contract required quantitation limits

Results below the contract required quantitation limits (CRQLs) are considered to be qualitatively acceptable, but quantitatively unreliable, due to the uncertainty in analytical precision near the limit of detection.

B. *The following results are qualified as nondetected and estimated due to method blank and field blank contamination and are flagged "U,J" in Table 1A.*

- Methylene chloride in field blank Y3WM6
- Chloroform in samples Y3WM3 through Y3WM5

Methylene chloride was found in method blanks VBLK5W and VBLK5X and chloroform was found in field blank Y3WM6 (see Table 1A for concentrations). Results for the samples listed above are considered nondetected and estimated (U,J) and quantitation limits have been raised according to blank qualification rules presented below.

No positive results are reported unless the concentration of the compound in the sample exceeds 10 times the amount in any associated blank for common laboratory contaminants or 5 times the amount for other compounds. If the sample result is greater than the CRQL, the quantitation limit is raised to the sample result and reported as nondetected. If the sample result is less than the CRQL, the result is reported as nondetected at the CRQL.

A laboratory method blank is laboratory reagent water or baked sand analyzed with all reagents, deuterated monitoring compounds, and internal standards and carried through the same sample preparation and analytical procedures as the field samples. The laboratory method blank is used to determine the level of contamination introduced by the laboratory during analysis.

A field blank is clean water prepared as a sample in the field by the sampler and shipped to the laboratory with the samples. A field blank is intended to detect contaminants that may have been introduced in the field, although any laboratory introduced contamination will be present. Contaminants that are found in the field blank which are absent in the laboratory method blank could be indicative of a field QC problem, a deficiency in the bottle preparation procedure, a difference in preparation of the laboratory and field blanks, or other indeterminate error.

C. *Results for the following analytes are qualified as estimated due to low RRFs in initial calibration and continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.*

- Acetone and 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane in all samples, all method blanks, and storage blank VHBLKC5*
- 2-Butanone in samples Y3WK9, Y3WL0, Y3WL4, and Y3WL7 through Y3WM6; method blanks VBLK5U, VBLK5W, VBLK5X, VBLKB5, and VBLKC5; and storage blank VHBLKC5*

An average RRF of 0.026 was reported for acetone in the initial calibration. RRFs were below the 0.05 validation criterion for acetone, 2-butanone, and 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane in CCVs (see Table 2).

Detected results for the analytes listed above may be biased low and should be considered as the minimum concentrations at which these analytes are present in the samples. Where results are nondetected, false negatives may exist.

DMCs 2-butanone-d5 and 2-hexanone-d5 also had RRFs below the 0.05 validation criterion in the initial calibration and CCVs (see Table 2). Quantitation of the analytes associated with these DMCs may have been affected by low RRFs (see attached Table 9 from the Functional Guidelines).

The RRF evaluates instrument sensitivity and is used in the quantitation of target analytes.

D. Results for the following analyte are qualified as estimated due to large percent difference (%D) in the CCV and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

- 1,1-Dichloroethene in samples Y3WK7, Y3WK8, Y3WL1 through Y3WL3, Y3WL5, and Y3WL6 and method blank VBLK5T

The %D exceeded the $\pm 30.0\%$ validation criterion for 1,1-dichloroethene in the 03/05/08 CCV (see Table 2).

The DMC 1,1-dichloroethene-d2 also had %Ds that exceeded the $\pm 30.0\%$ validation criterion in CCVs. Quantitation of the analytes associated with this DMC may have been affected by high %Ds (see attached Table 9 from the Functional Guidelines).

The continuing calibration checks the instrument performance daily and produces the relative response factors (RRFs) for target analytes that are used for quantitation.

E. Results for the following analytes are qualified as estimated due to DMC recoveries outside QC limits and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

{Chloroethane-d5}

- Dichlorodifluoromethane, chloromethane, bromomethane, chloroethane, and carbon disulfide in samples Y3WK7, Y3WL2, and Y3WL9

{1,1-Dichloroethene-d2}

- trans-1,2-Dichloroethene and cis-1,2-dichloroethene in samples Y3WK7, Y3WK8, Y3WL1, and Y3WL2
- cis-1,2-Dichloroethene in sample Y3WL3
- 1,1-Dichloroethene and cis-1,2-dichloroethene in samples Y3WL6, Y3WM4, and Y3WM5

DMC recoveries outside QC limits are shown below.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>DMC</u>	<u>% Recovery</u>	<u>QC Limit</u>
Y3WK7	Chloroethane-d5	71	71-131
Y3WL2	Chloroethane-d5	67	71-131
Y3WL9	Chloroethane-d5	69	71-131
Y3WK7	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	2302	55-104
Y3WK8	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	1017	55-104
Y3WL1	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	278	55-104
Y3WL2	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	217	55-104
Y3WL3	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	219	55-104
Y3WL6	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	166	55-104
Y3WM3MS	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	139	55-104
Y3WM3MSD	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	144	55-104
Y3WM4	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	182	55-104
Y3WM5	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	210	55-104
Y3WK7	Chloroform-d	170	78-121
Y3WK8	Chloroform-d	125	78-121

Detected results for affected analytes where DMC recoveries fell below QC limits may be biased low; where results are nondetected, false negatives may exist. Detected results for affected analytes where DMC recoveries exceeded QC limits may be biased high. For DMC recoveries that exceeded QC limits, only detected results for associated analytes are qualified. The very high recoveries for DMC 1,1-dichloroethene-d2 in samples Y3WK7 and Y3WK8 are due to high concentrations of 1,1-dichloroethene present in samples. Recoveries for the DMC chloroform-d exceeded QC limits but detected results for chloroform were reported from the dilutions. The samples were not reanalyzed.

Surrogates (e.g., deuterated monitoring compounds (DMCs)) are organic compounds which are similar to the target analytes in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples. All samples are spiked with DMCs prior to purging. DMCs provide information about both the laboratory performance on individual samples and the possible effects of the sample matrix on the analytical results.

- F. *Detected result for the following analyte is qualified as estimated due to concentration exceeding the calibration range and is flagged "J" in Table 1A.*

- trans-1,2-Dichloroethene in sample Y3WK7*

The concentration of trans-1,2-dichloroethene in sample Y3WK7 was 56 µg/L. This value exceeds the 0.5-20 µg/L calibration range. The laboratory reanalyzed sample Y3WK7 at a 80-fold dilution but trans-1,2-dichloroethene was diluted out (40U).

The result reported in Table 1A for trans-1,2-dichloroethene in sample Y3WK7 is from the undiluted analysis. This concentration is considered to be qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively questionable and should be considered as the minimum concentration at which the analyte is present in sample.

- G. *The matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) for benzene (13%) in QC samples Y3WM3MS and Y3WM3MSD did not meet the criterion for precision (≤11%) specified in the SOW.*

Results obtained may indicate poor laboratory technique or matrix effects which may interfere with analysis. The effect on data quality is not known.

Matrix spike sample analysis provides information about the effect of the sample matrix on sample preparation and measurement.

- H. *Samples Y3WK7 and Y3WK8 were reanalyzed at 80-fold and 20-fold dilutions, respectively, due to high levels of trichlorofluoromethane, 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoromethane, chloroform, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in samples Y3WK7 and Y3WK8 are reported from the diluted analyses in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analyses.*

Sample Y3WL1 was reanalyzed at a 10-fold dilution due to high levels of trichlorofluoromethane, 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoromethane, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in sample Y3WL1 are reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

Samples Y3WL2 and Y3WL3 were reanalyzed at 10-fold dilutions due to high levels of 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoromethane, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in samples Y3WL2 and Y3WL3 are reported from the diluted analyses in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analyses.

Sample Y3WL5 was reanalyzed at a 4-fold dilution due to a high level of trichloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. The result for trichloroethene in sample Y3WL5 is reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

Samples Y3WL6, Y3WM4, and Y3WM5 were reanalyzed at 8-, 4-, and 4-fold dilutions, respectively, due to high levels of trichloroethene and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in samples Y3WL6, Y3WM4, and Y3WM5 are reported from the diluted analyses in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analyses.

TABLE 1B

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," January 2005.

- | | |
|----|--|
| U | <i>The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.</i> |
| L | <i>Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.</i> |
| J | <i>The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).</i> |
| NJ | <i>The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.</i> |
| UJ | <i>The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.</i> |
| R | <i>The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.</i> |

Table 2
Calibration Summary

Case No.: 37203
SDG No.: Y3WK7
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: Mitkem Laboratories
Reviewer: Kendra DeSantolo, ESAT/LDC
Date: May 9, 2008

RELATIVE RESPONSE FACTORS (RRF)

	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>
Analysis date:	2/29/08	3/05/08	3/05/08	3/06/08	3/06/08
Analysis time:	21:00-	06:20	17:54	05:21	17:09
GC/MS I.D.:	V5	V5	V5	V5	V5
<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Init.</u>	<u>Cont.</u>	<u>Cont.</u>	<u>Cont.</u>	<u>Cont.</u>
Acetone	0.026	0.021	0.021	0.020	0.020
2-Butanone	-----	-----	-----	0.042	0.048
1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.034	0.034	0.030	0.026	0.038
2-Butanone-d5	-----	-----	-----	0.049	-----
2-Hexanone-d5 0.047	0.048	-----	0.041	-----	

	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>
Analysis date:	3/07/08	3/07/08	3/10/08	3/10/08	3/11/08
Analysis time:	04:01	15:34	9:53	21:30	08:53
GC/MS I.D.:	V5	V5	V5	V5	V5
<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Cont.</u>	<u>Cont.</u>	<u>Cont.</u>	<u>Cont.</u>	<u>Cont.</u>
Acetone	0.017	0.020	0.029	0.017	0.019
2-Butanone	0.041	0.046	-----	0.036	0.048
1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.032	0.034	0.038	0.030	0.033
2-Butanone-d5	0.044	-----	-----	0.043	-----
2-Hexanone-d5 0.037	0.046	0.044	0.038	0.045	

PERCENT DIFFERENCES (%D)

	<u>%D</u>	<u>%D</u>
Analysis date:	3/05/08	3/10/08
Analysis time:	06:20	09:53
GC/MS I.D.:	V5	V5
<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Cont.</u>	<u>Cont.</u>
1,1-Dichloroethene	+38.6	-----
2-Butanone-d5	+36.9	+32.2

ASSOCIATED SAMPLES AND METHOD BLANKS

Initial 02/29/08: All samples, method blanks, and storage blank VHBLKC5

Cont., 03/05/08 (06:20): Y3WK7, Y3WK8, Y3WL1 through Y3WL3, Y3WL5, and Y3WL6 and VBLK5T

Cont., 03/05/08 (17:54): Closing standard for Y3WK7, Y3WK8, Y3WL1 THROUGH Y3WL3, Y3WL5, Y3WL6 and VBLK5T; opening standard for Y3WK7DL, Y3WK8DL, Y3WK9, Y3WL0, Y3WL1DL, Y3WL2DL, Y3WL3DL, Y3WL4, Y3WL5DL, Y3WL6DL, Y3WL7 and VBLK5U

Cont., 03/06/08 (05:21): Closing standard for Y3WK7DL, Y3WK8DL, Y3WK9, Y3WL0, Y3WL1DL, Y3WL2DL, Y3WL3DL, Y3WL4, Y3WL5DL, Y3WL6DL, Y3WL7 and VBLK5U

Cont., 03/06/08 (17:09): Y3WL8, Y3WL9, Y3WM0, Y3WM1, Y3WM3, Y3WM3MS, Y3WM3MSD, Y3WM4, Y3WM5, Y3WM6, and VBLK5W

Cont., 03/07/08 (04:01): Closing standard for Y3WL8, Y3WL9, Y3WM0, Y3WM1, Y3WM3, Y3WM3MS, Y3WM3MSD, Y3WM4, Y3WM5, Y3WM6, and VBLK5W; opening standard for Y3WM2 and VBLK5X

Cont., 03/07/08 (15:34): Closing standard for Y3WM2 and VBLK5X

Cont., 03/10/08 (09:53): Y3WM4DL, Y3WM5DL, and VBLKB5

Cont., 03/10/08 (21:30): Closing standard for Y3WM4DL, Y3WM5DL, and VBLKB5; opening standard for storage blank VHBLKC5 and VBLKC5

Cont., 03/11/08 (08:53): Closing standard for storage blank VHBLKC5 and VBLKC5.



ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

*Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300 Fax: (510) 412-2304*

MEMORANDUM

TO: *Lynda Deschambault, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 1, SFD-7-1*

THROUGH: *Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3*

FROM: *Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)*

*ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00405051*

DATE: *May 4, 2009*

SUBJECT: *Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3*

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

<i>Site:</i>	<i>Omega Chem OU2</i>
<i>Site Account No.:</i>	<i>09 BC QB02</i>
<i>CERCLIS ID NO.:</i>	<i>CAD042245001</i>
<i>Case No.:</i>	<i>38274</i>
<i>SDG No.:</i>	<i>Y4N51</i>
<i>Laboratory:</i>	<i>Mitkem Laboratories (MITKEM)</i>
<i>Analysis:</i>	<i>Trace Volatiles</i>
<i>Samples:</i>	<i>20 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)</i>
<i>Collection Date:</i>	<i>March 2 through 5, 2009</i>
<i>Reviewer:</i>	<i>April Martinez, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants</i>

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: *Jennie Han-Liu, CLP PO USEPA Region 1
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9*

CLP PO: ☒ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 38274
SDG No.: Y4N51
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: Mitkem Laboratories (MITKEM)
Reviewer: April Martinez, ESAT/LDC
Date: May 4, 2009

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y4N51 through Y4N53, Y4N55 through Y4N70, and Y4N73
Concentration and Matrix: Low/Medium Concentration Water
Analysis: Trace Volatiles
SOW: SOM01.2
Collection Date: March 2 through 5, 2009
Sample Receipt Date: March 3 through 6, 2009
Extraction Date: Not Applicable
Analysis Date: March 5, 9, 10, 12, and 13, 2009

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Y4N63, Y4N67, and Y4N79 (in SDG Y4N71)
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not provided
Trip Blank (TB): Not provided
Background Samples (BG): Not provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Y4N60 and Y4N61

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:

VBLK5Q: Y4N51, Y4N52
VBLK5S: Y4N53, Y4N55, Y4N56
VBLK5T: Y4N57 through Y4N70
VBLK5U: Y4N73, Y4N73MS, and Y4N73MSD
VBLKB5: Y4N57DL through Y4N62DL, Y4N65DL, Y4N66DL, Y4N68DL through Y4N70DL, Y4N73DL
VBLKC5: Y4N64DL and storage blank VHBLKC5

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review
2: Calibration Summary

CLP PO Action

None.

CLP PO Attention

5. Detected results for chloroform in samples Y4N57 through Y4N62 and Y4N66 are qualified as nondetected and estimated (U,J) due to field blank contamination (see Comment B).
6. Results for some analytes are qualified as estimated (J) due to calibration problems (see Comments C and D).
7. Results for some analytes are qualified as estimated (J) due to deuterated monitoring compound (DMC) recovery problems (see Comment E).
8. Results for some analytes in samples Y4N57, Y4N58, Y4N59, Y4N61, Y4N64, and Y4N66 are qualified as estimated (J) due to internal standard (IS) area problems (see Comment F).

Sampling Issues

Detected results for chloroform in samples Y4N57 through Y4N62 and Y4N66 are qualified as nondetected and estimated (U,J) due to field blank contamination (see Comment B).

Additional Comments

Other than a laboratory artifact (approximate retention time of 6.9 minutes), tentatively identified compounds (TICs) were found in samples Y4N57, Y4N64, Y4N68, Y4N70, and Y4N73 (see attached Form 1Js).

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- *ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;*
- *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005;*
- *Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2, Amended April 11, 2007; and*
- *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, July 2007.*

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
15.	Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
16.	GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
17.	Initial Calibration	No	C
18.	Continuing Calibration Verification	No	C, D
19.	Laboratory Blanks	No	B
20.	Field Blanks	No	B
21.	Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	No	E
22.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	No	G
23.	Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate	N/A	
24.	Internal Standards	No	F
25.	Compound Identification	Yes	
26.	COMPOUND QUANTITATION	YES	A, H, I
27.	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	YES	
28.	Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	Yes	

N/A = Not Applicable

III. Validity AND Comments

A. *The following results, denoted with an "L" qualifier, are estimated and flagged "J" in Table 1A.*

- *All detected results below the contract required quantitation limits*

Results below the contract required quantitation limits (CRQLs) are considered to be qualitatively acceptable, but quantitatively unreliable, due to the uncertainty in analytical precision near the limit of detection.

B. *The following results are qualified as nondetected and estimated due to method blank and field blank contamination and are flagged "U,J" in Table 1A.*

- *Methylene chloride in storage blank VHBLKC5*
- *Chloroform in samples Y4N55, Y4N57 through Y4N62, Y4N66, and Y4N68*

Methylene chloride was found in method blanks VBLK5S, VBLK5T, VBLK5U, and VBLKC5 and chloroform was found in field blanks Y4N63, Y4N67, and Y4N79 (see Table 1A for concentrations). Results for the samples listed above are considered nondetected and estimated (U,J) and quantitation limits have been raised according to blank qualification rules presented below.

No positive results are reported unless the concentration of the compound in the sample exceeds 10 times the amount in any associated blank for common laboratory contaminants or 5 times the amount for other compounds. If the sample result is greater than the CRQL, the quantitation limit is raised to the sample result and reported as nondetected. If the sample result is less than the CRQL, the result is reported as nondetected at the CRQL.

A laboratory method blank is laboratory reagent water or baked sand analyzed with all reagents, deuterated monitoring compounds, and internal standards and carried through the same sample preparation and analytical procedures as the field samples. The laboratory method blank is used to determine the level of contamination introduced by the laboratory during analysis.

A field blank is clean water prepared as a sample in the field by the sampler and shipped to the laboratory with the samples. A field blank is intended to detect contaminants that may have been introduced in the field, although any laboratory-introduced contamination will be present. Contaminants that are found in the field blank which are absent in the laboratory method blank could be indicative of a field QC problem, a deficiency in the bottle preparation procedure, a difference in preparation of the laboratory and field blanks, or other indeterminate error.

- C. Results for the following analyte are qualified as estimated due to low RRFs in initial calibration and continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

- 2-Butanone in samples Y4N53, Y4N55 through Y4N70, and Y4N73 and method blanks VBLK5S, VBLK5T, and VBLK5U

RRFs were below the 0.05 validation criterion for 2-butanone in CCVs (see Table 2). Since results are nondetected, false negatives may exist.

DMCs 2-butanone-d5 and 2-hexanone-d5 also had RRFs below the 0.05 validation criterion in the initial calibration and CCVs (see Table 2). Quantitation of the analytes associated with these DMCs may have been affected by low RRFs (see attached Table 9 from the Functional Guidelines).

The RRF evaluates instrument sensitivity and is used in the quantitation of target analytes.

- D. Results for the following analyte are qualified as estimated due to a large percent difference (%D) in the CCV and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

- Bromomethane in method blank VBLKC5 and storage blank VHBLKC5

A %D of +31.6% was reported for bromomethane in the 03/13/09 09:03 CCV, which exceeded the $\pm 30.0\%$ validation criterion for opening CCVs.

The continuing calibration checks the instrument performance daily and produces the relative response factors (RRFs) for target analytes that are used for quantitation.

- E. Results for the following analytes are qualified as estimated due to DMC recoveries outside QC limits and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

{Chloroethane-d5}

- Dichlorodifluoromethane, chloromethane, bromomethane, chloroethane, and carbon disulfide in sample Y4N51

{1,1-Dichloroethene-d2}

- 1,1-Dichloroethene and cis-1,2-dichloroethene in samples Y4N57 through Y4N62, Y4N66, Y4N69, and Y4N73
- 1,1-Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-dichloroethene and cis-1,2-dichloroethene in samples Y4N64 and Y4N65
- 1,1-Dichloroethene in samples Y4N68 and Y4N70

{Chloroform-d}

- 1,1-Dichloroethane in sample Y4N64

{Benzene-d6}

- Benzene in sample Y4N64

{Toluene-d8}

- Trichloroethene in sample Y4N66

DMC recoveries outside QC limits are shown below.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>DMC</u>	<u>% Recovery</u>	<u>QC Limit</u>
Y4N 57	Vinyl chloride-d3	140 65-131	
Y4N 58	Vinyl chloride-d3	145 65-131	
Y4N 59	Vinyl chloride-d3	138 65-131	
Y4N 60	Vinyl chloride-d3	132 65-131	
Y4N 61	Vinyl chloride-d3	135 65-131	
Y4N 62	Vinyl chloride-d3	134 65-131	
Y4N 63	Vinyl chloride-d3	144 65-131	
Y4N 64	Vinyl chloride-d3	139 65-131	
Y4N 65	Vinyl chloride-d3	132 65-131	
Y4N 66	Vinyl chloride-d3	131 65-131	
Y4N 67	Vinyl chloride-d3	133 65-131	
Y4N 68	Vinyl chloride-d3	132 65-131	
Y4N 69	Vinyl chloride-d3	139 65-131	
Y4N 73	Vinyl chloride-d3	135 65-131	
Y4N 73MSD	Vinyl chloride-d3	136 65-131	
Y4N57DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	149 65-131	
Y4N 58DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	157 65-131	
Y4N59DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	155 65-131	
Y4N 60DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	160 65-131	
Y4N 61DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	161 65-131	
<u>Sample</u>	<u>DMC</u>	<u>% Recovery</u>	<u>QC Limit</u>
Y4N 62DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	158 65-131	
Y4N 65DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	171 65-131	
Y4N 66DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	170 65-131	
Y4N 68DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	170 65-131	
Y4N 69DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	172 65-131	
Y4N 70DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	168 65-131	
Y4N 73DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	159 65-131	
Y4N 64DL	Vinyl chloride-d3	172 65-131	
Y4N51	Chloroethane-d5	65 71-131	
Y4N51	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	106 55-104	
Y4N52	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	109 55-104	
Y4N57	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	116 55-104	

Y4N58	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	132	55-104
Y4N59	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	120	55-104
Y4N60	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	118	55-104
Y4N61	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	112	55-104
Y4N62	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	127	55-104
Y4N63	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	121	55-104
Y4N64	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	113	55-104
Y4N65	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	117	55-104
Y4N66	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	124	55-104
Y4N67	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	117	55-104
Y4N68	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	128	55-104
Y4N69	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	120	55-104
Y4N 73	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	129	55-104
Y4N 73MS	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	122	55-104
Y4N 73MSD	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	129	55-104
Y4N 58DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	112	55-104
Y4N 59DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	108	55-104
Y4N60DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	112	55-104
Y4N61DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	117	55-104
Y4N62DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	114	55-104
Y4N65DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	115	55-104
Y4N66DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	117	55-104
Y4N68DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	122	55-104
Y4N69DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	123	55-104
Y4N70DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	122	55-104
Y4N73DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	113	55-104
Y4N64DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	131	55-104
Y4N64	Chloroform-d	125	78-121
Y4N58	Benzene-d6	129	77-124
Y4N62	Benzene-d6	129	77-124
Y4N64	Benzene-d6	231	77-124
Y4N65	Benzene-d6	143	77-124
Y4N66	Benzene-d6	131	77-124
Y4N70	Benzene-d6	135	77-124
<u>Sample</u>	<u>DMC</u>	<u>% Recovery</u>	<u>QC Limit</u>
Y4N73	Benzene-d6	124	77-124
Y4N64	1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	156	79-124
Y4N64	Toluene-d8	195	77-121
Y4N65	Toluene-d8	123	77-121
Y4N66	Toluene-d8	126	77-121
Y4N70	Toluene-d8	122	77-121
Y4N64	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4	153	73-121
Y4N64	1,1,2,2-Trichloroethane-d2	128	73-125

Detected results for affected analytes where DMC recoveries fell below QC limits may be biased low; where results are nondetected, false negatives may exist. Detected results for affected analytes where DMC recoveries exceeded QC limits may be biased high. For DMC recoveries that exceeded QC limits, only detected results for associated analytes are qualified. Recoveries for DMCs vinyl chloride-d3, 1,2-dichloropropane-d6, trans-1,3-dichloropropene-d4, and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane-d2 exceeded QC limits but associated sample results were not qualified because they were nondetects. The samples were not reanalyzed undiluted.

Surrogates (e.g., deuterated monitoring compounds (DMCs)) are organic compounds which are similar to the target analytes in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples. All samples are spiked with DMCs prior to purging. DMCs provide information about both the laboratory performance on individual samples and the possible effects of the sample matrix on the analytical results.

F. Results for the following analytes are qualified as estimated due to low internal standard (IS) areas and are flagged “J” in Table 1A.

- All analytes except trichloroethene in sample Y4N58
- All analytes except tetrachloroethene in sample Y4N59

{Chlorobenzene-d5}

- 1,1,1-Trichloroethane, cyclohexane, carbon tetrachloride, benzene, , methylcyclohexane, 1,2-dichloropropane, bromodichloromethane, cis-1,3-dichloropropane, 4-methyl-2-pentanone, toluene, trans-1,3-dichloropropene, 1,1,2-trichloroethane, 2-hexanone, dibromochloromethane, 1,2-dibromoethane, chlorobenzene, ethylbenzene, o-xylene, m,p-xylenes, styrene, isopropylbenzene, and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane in sample Y4N64

{1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4}

- Bromoform, 1,3-dichlorobenzene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, and 1,2,3-trichlorobenzene in samples Y4N57, Y4N61, Y4N64, and Y4N66

IS areas outside QC limits are shown below.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Internal Standard</u>	<u>Area</u>	<u>QC Limit</u>
Y4N57	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d ₄	37738	44804-104544
Y4N58	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d ₄	32311	44804-104544
Y4N58	1,4-Difluorobenzene	149475	154276-359978
Y4N58	Chlorobenzene-d5	86241	100090-233542
Y4N59	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d ₄	31822	44804-104544
Y4N59	1,4-Difluorobenzene	149179	154276-359978
Y4N59	Chlorobenzene-d5	84195	100090-233542
Y4N61	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d ₄	43896	44804-104544
Y4N64	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d ₄	36159	44804-104544
Y4N64	Chlorobenzene-d5	55034	100090-233542
Y4N66	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d ₄	40644	44804-104544

Detected results and quantitation limits for the affected analytes are considered quantitatively questionable. Where results are nondetected, false negatives may exist. The samples were not reanalyzed undiluted.

Internal standards, introduced into every calibration standard, blank, sample, and QC sample, monitor changes in analyte response due to matrix effects and fluctuations in instrument sensitivity throughout the analytical sequence. Internal standards are used to quantitate the concentration of target analytes and surrogate standards.

- G. *The matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate percent recoveries for trichloroethene in QC samples Y4N73MS (140%) and Y4N73MSD (132%) did not meet the criterion for accuracy (71-120%) specified in the SOW. These recoveries are not meaningful because the concentration of trichloroethene in sample Y4N73 (68 ug/L) is significantly higher than the spike concentration of 5.0 ug/L.*

Matrix spike sample analysis provides information about the effect of the sample matrix on sample preparation and measurement.

- H. Sample Y4N57 was reanalyzed at a 4-fold dilution due to high levels of trichloroethene and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in sample Y4N57 are reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

Samples Y4N58, Y4N69, and Y4N73 were reanalyzed at 8-, 5-, and 5-fold dilutions, respectively, due to high levels of trichloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for trichloroethene in samples Y4N58, Y4N69, and Y4N73 are reported from the diluted analyses in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analyses.

Samples Y4N59, Y4N60, Y4N61, Y4N62, and Y4N66 were reanalyzed at 5-, 2-, 4-, 10-, and 10-fold dilutions, respectively, due to high levels of tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for tetrachloroethene in samples Y4N59, Y4N60, Y4N61, Y4N62, and Y4N66 are reported from the diluted analyses in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analyses.

Sample Y4N64 was reanalyzed at an 80-fold dilution due to high levels of trichlorofluoromethane, 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, chloroform, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in sample Y4N64 are reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

Sample Y4N65 was reanalyzed at a 25-fold dilution due to high levels of 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in sample Y4N65 are reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

Sample Y4N68 was reanalyzed at a 2-fold dilution due to high levels of 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in sample Y4N68 are reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

Sample Y4N70 was reanalyzed at a 20-fold dilution due to high levels of trichlorofluoromethane, 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in sample Y4N70 are reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

- I. Data users should note that the diluted concentrations for tetrachloroethene in the following samples are significantly lower than the undiluted concentrations.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Undiluted Conc., µg/L</u>	<u>Diluted Conc., µg/L</u>
Y4N60	Tetrachloroethene	28	15
Y4N64	Tetrachloroethene	1800	1000
Y4N65	Tetrachloroethene	200	130
Y4N66	Tetrachloroethene	120	78
Y4N68	Tetrachloroethene	21	9
Y4N70	Tetrachloroethene	180	110

TABLE 1B

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," January 2005.

- | | |
|----|--|
| U | <i>The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.</i> |
| L | <i>Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.</i> |
| J | <i>The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).</i> |
| NJ | <i>The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.</i> |
| UJ | <i>The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.</i> |
| R | <i>The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.</i> |

Table 2
Calibration Summary

Case No.: 38274
SDG No.: Y4N51
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: Mitkem Laboratories
Reviewer: April Martinez, ESAT/LDC
Date: May 4, 2009

RELATIVE RESPONSE FACTORS (RRF)

		<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>
Analysis date:		3/5/09	3/5/09	3/9/09	3/9/09	3/10/09
Analysis time:		10:38-	21:02	10:09	21:10	09:06
GC/MS I.D.:		V5	V5	V5	V5	V5
<u>Analyte</u>		<u>Init.</u>	<u>CCV</u>	<u>CCV</u>	<u>CCV</u>	<u>CCV</u>
2-Butanone		-----	-----	0.049	0.044	0.045
2-Butanone-d5		0.044	0.038	0.043	0.039	0.038
2-Hexanone-d5	0.032	0.033	0.041	0.044	0.033	

		<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>
Analysis date:		3/12/09	3/10/09
Analysis time:		18:30-	20:28
GC/MS I.D.:		V5	V5
<u>Analyte</u>		<u>Init.</u>	<u>CCV</u>
2-Butanone		-----	-----
2-Butanone-d5		-----	0.044
2-Hexanone-d5	0.049	0.040	

ASSOCIATED SAMPLES AND METHOD BLANKS

Initial 03/05/09: All samples and method blanks VBLK5Q, VBLK5S, VBLK5T, and VBLK5U
CCV, 03/05/09 (21:02): Y4N51, Y4N52, and VBLK5Q
CCV, 03/09/09 (10:09): Y4N53, Y4N55, Y4N56, and VBLK5S
CCV, 03/09/09 (21:10): Y4N53, Y4N55, Y4N56, and VBLK5S; Y4N57 through Y4N70 and VBLK5T
CCV, 03/10/09 (09:06): Y4N57 through Y4N70 and VBLK5T; Y4N73, Y4N73MS, Y4N73MSD, and VBLK5U
CCV, 03/10/09 (20:28): Y4N73, Y4N73MS, Y4N73MSD, and VBLK5U
Initial 03/05/09: Y4N57DL through Y4N70DL, Y4N73DL, VBLKB5, VBLKC5, and VHBLKC5
CCV, 03/13/09 (09:03): Y4N57DL through Y4N70DL, Y4N73DL, VBLKB5, VBLKC5, and VHBLKC5

Table 9. Volatile Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMCs) and the Associated Target Compounds

Chloroethane-d ₅ (DMC)	1,2-Dichloropropane-d ₅ (DMC)	1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d ₄ (DMC)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	Cyclohexane	Chlorobenzene
Chloromethane	Methylcyclohexane	1,3-Dichlorobenzene
Bromomethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene
Chloroethane	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dichlorobenzene
Carbon disulfide		1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene
		1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d ₄ (DMC)	Chloroform-d (DMC)	2-Hexanone-d ₅ (DMC)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1,1-Dichloroethane	4-Methyl-2-pentanone
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	Bromochloromethane	2-Hexanone
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Chloroform	
	Dibromochloromethane	
	Bromoform	
2-Butanone-d ₅ (DMC)	1,1-Dichloroethene-d ₂ (DMC)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d ₂ (DMC)
Acetone	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane
2-Butanone	1,1-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	
Vinyl chloride-d ₃ (DMC)	Benzene-d ₆ (DMC)	Toluene-d ₈ (DMC)
Vinyl chloride	Benzene	Trichloroethene
		Toluene
		Tetrachloroethene
		Ethylbenzene
		o-Xylene
		m,p-Xylene
		Styrene
		Isopropylbenzene
1,2-Dichloroethane-d ₄ (DMC)		
Trichlorofluoromethane		
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane		
Methyl acetate		
Methylene chloride		
Methyl-tert-butyl ether		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		
Carbon tetrachloride		
1,2-Dibromoethane		
1,2-Dichloroethane		

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Modified by ESAT. Changes (*) are based on hardcopy Tier 1A forms review (of VOA TRACE data only) and shown as ~~striethrough~~ and **underline bold**. Reviewer: Santiago Lee (EPA Contract EPW06041, TDF 00405051, ICF International). Date: 05/11/09. DCN: 10724.

- * Results above calibration range, denoted by an "E" flag, are qualified J (estimated) in Amended Table 1A. Results from the diluted analyses should be used.

Data users should note that the diluted concentrations for some analytes in following samples are significantly lower than the undiluted concentrations.

<i>Sample</i>	<i>Analyte</i>	<i>Undiluted Conc., µg/L</i>	<i>Diluted Conc., µg/L</i>
<u>Y4N71</u>	<u>Tetrachloroethene</u>	<u>210</u>	<u>120</u>
<u>Y4N72</u>	<u>Tetrachloroethene</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>120</u>
<u>Y4N76</u>	<u>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</u>	<u>9100</u>	<u>820</u>
<u>Y4N76</u>	<u>Trichloroethene</u>	<u>11000</u>	<u>2000U</u>
<u>Y4N76</u>	<u>Tetrachloroethene</u>	<u>79000</u>	<u>49000</u>
<u>Y4N77</u>	<u>Tetrachloroethene</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Y4N81</u>	<u>Trichloroethene</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Y4N81</u>	<u>Tetrachloroethene</u>	<u>1800</u>	<u>540</u>
<u>Y4N83</u>	<u>Tetrachloroethene</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>25</u>

Samples Y4N85, Y4N86, and Y4N87 were received by the laboratory with a cooler temperature of 7°C which exceeds the 4+2°C sample preservation criterion. Since the cooler temperature is below 10°C, no adverse effect on data quality is expected.

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Blanks

Blanks		VOA_TRACE
VTLB15	The following trace volatile samples have common contaminant analyte concentrations reported greater than or equal to 4x the CRQL. The associated method blank has common contaminant analytes concentration is less than or equal to 2x the concentration criteria. Detected and nondetected compounds are not qualified.	
	Methylene chloride Y4N76	
Blanks		VOA_TRACE
VTLB48	The following trace volatile samples have common contaminant analyte concentrations reported greater than or equal to 4x the CRQL. The associated storage blank has common contaminant analytes concentration is less than or equal to 2x the concentration criteria. Detected and nondetected compounds are not qualified.	
	Methylene chloride Y4N76	

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Continuing Calibration Verification

Continuing Calibration Verification		VOA_TRACE
VTC8	The following trace volatile samples are associated with an opening or closing CCV percent difference (%D) outside criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified UJ.	
	VBLKC5, VBLKD5, Y4N71DL, Y4N72DL, Y4N74, Y4N75, Y4N76DL, Y4N77DL, Y4N78, Y4N79, Y4N80, Y4N82, Y4N83DL, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N86, Y4N87, Y4N88, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91	
	Bromomethane VSTD005C5, VSTD005D5	
	VBLKC5, VBLKD5, Y4N71DL, Y4N72DL, Y4N74, Y4N75, Y4N76DL, Y4N77DL, Y4N78, Y4N79, Y4N80, Y4N82, Y4N83DL, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N86, Y4N87, Y4N88, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91	

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

DMC/Surrogate

DMC/Surrogate	VOA_TRACE
VTDSS2	The following volatile samples have DMC/SMC recoveries above the upper limit of the criteria window. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.
	Y4N72, Y4N74, Y4N75, Y4N76, Y4N78, Y4N79, Y4N80, Y4N81, Y4N82, Y4N83, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N86, Y4N87, Y4N88, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91
	Benzene-d6 Y4N76, Y4N81
	Benzene
	Chloroethane-d5 Y4N76
	Bromomethane, Carbon disulfide, Chloroethane, Chloromethane, Dichlorodifluoromethane
	Toluene-d8 Y4N76, Y4N81
	Ethylbenzene, Isopropylbenzene, Styrene, Tetrachloroethene, Toluene, Trichloroethene, m,p-Xylene, o-Xylene
*	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2 Y4N74, Y4N75, Y4N76, Y4N78, Y4N79, Y4N80, Y4N81, Y4N82, Y4N83, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N86, Y4N87, Y4N88, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91, <u>Y4N71DL, Y4N72DL, Y4N76DL, Y4N77DL, Y4N83DL</u>
	1,1-Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2 Y4N81
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
	2-Hexanone-d5 Y4N76
	2-Hexanone, 4-Methyl-2-pentanone
	Vinyl chloride-d3 Y4N72, Y4N76, Y4N83, Y4N89
	Vinyl chloride
	Chloroform-d Y4N76
	1,1-Dichloroethane, Bromochloromethane, Bromoform, Chloroform, Dibromochloromethane
	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4 Y4N76, Y4N81
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane, cis-1,3-Dichloropropene, trans-1,3-Dichloropropene
	1,2-Dichloropropane-d6 Y4N76, Y4N81
	1,2-Dichloropropane, Bromodichloromethane, Cyclohexane, Methylcyclohexane
DMC/Surrogate	VOA_TRACE

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

DMC/Surrogate

VTDSS3	The following trace volatile samples have one or more DMC/SMC recovery values is less than the primary lower limit but greater than or equal to the expanded lower limit of the criteria window. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified UJ.
	Y4N76, Y4N77, Y4N78, Y4N82, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91
	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 Y4N77
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, 1,2-Dibromoethane, 1,2-Dichloroethane, Carbon tetrachloride, Methyl acetate, Methyl tert-butyl ether, Methylene chloride, Trichlorofluoromethane
*	Chloroethane-d5 Y4N77, Y4N78, <u>Y4N72DL</u>
	Bromomethane, Carbon disulfide, Chloroethane, Chloromethane, Dichlorodifluoromethane
*	1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Y4N78, Y4N82, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91, <u>Y4N76DL</u> , <u>Y4N83DL</u>
	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene, 1,2-Dichlorobenzene, 1,3-Dichlorobenzene, 1,4-Dichlorobenzene, Chlorobenzene
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2 Y4N76
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Detection Limit

Detection Limit	VOA_TRACE
VTDL1	The following volatile samples have analyte concentrations below the quantitation limit (CRQL). Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.
	VBLK5V, VBLKC5, VBLKD5, VHBLKG5, Y4N71, Y4N72, Y4N76DL, Y4N79, Y4N83, Y4N83DL, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N87, Y4N90
	Tetrachloroethene Y4N87
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Y4N85
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene Y4N72
	Methyl tert-butyl ether Y4N71, Y4N72
	Chloroform Y4N79, Y4N83DL, Y4N84
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane Y4N76DL
	Methylene chloride VBLK5V, VBLKC5, VBLKD5, VHBLKG5
	1,1-Dichloroethane Y4N71, Y4N72, Y4N83
	Trichloroethene Y4N90

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Initial Calibration

Initial Calibration	VOA_SIM
VTC15	The following volatile samples are associated with an initial calibration with relative response factors (RRFs) outside criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified R.
	VBLK5Y, VBLKJ5, VHBLKJ5, Y4N71, Y4N72, Y4N74, Y4N75, Y4N77, Y4N78, Y4N79, Y4N80, Y4N81, Y4N82, Y4N83, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N86, Y4N87, Y4N88, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91
	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane VSTD0.055X, VSTD0.05J5, VSTD0.15X, VSTD0.1J5, VSTD0.5J5, VSTD1.0J5, VSTD2.05X, VSTD2.0J5
	VBLKJ5, VHBLKJ5, Y4N82, Y4N83, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N86, Y4N87, Y4N88, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Internal Standard

Internal Standard		VOA_TRACE
VTIS3	*	The following volatile samples have internal standard area counts that are outside the upper limit of primary criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified <u>qualified J (Region 9 modification)</u> .
		<u>1,4-Difluorobenzene</u> Y4N77
Internal Standard		VOA_TRACE
VTIS31		The following trace volatile samples have internal standard area counts that are outside the lower limit of primary criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified R.
	*	<u>Chlorobenzene-d5</u> Y4N76, Y4N81
	*	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Y4N76
	*	<u>The following trace volatile samples have internal standard area counts that are outside the lower limit of primary criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified J (area counts >25%; Region 9 modification).</u>
	*	<u>Chlorobenzene-d5</u> Y4N81
	*	<u>1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4</u> Y4N76

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Internal Standard

TIC		VOA_TRACE
VTIC1	A library search indicates a match at or above 85% for a TIC compound in the trace volatile sample. Detected compounds are qualified NJ. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.	
	354-23-4 Y4N83	
TIC		VOA_TRACE
VTIC2	A library search indicates a match below 85% for a TIC compound in the trace volatile sample. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.	
	Y4N71, Y4N72, Y4N76	
	Unknown-01 Y4N72, Y4N76	
	Unknown-02 Y4N71, Y4N76	
	Unknown-03 Y4N76	
	Unknown-04 Y4N76	
	Unknown-05 Y4N76	

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Modified by ESAT. Changes (*) are based on hardcopy Tier 1A forms review (of VOA_TRACE data only) and shown as ~~strikethrough~~ and **underline bold**. Reviewer: Santiago Lee (EPA Contract EPW06041, TDF 00405051, ICF International). Date: 05/11/09. DCN: 10725.

- * Results above calibration range, denoted by an "E" flag, are qualified J (estimated) in Amended Table 1A. Results from the diluted analyses should be used.

Data users should note that the diluted concentrations for some analytes in following samples are significantly lower than the undiluted concentrations.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Undiluted Conc., µg/L</u>	<u>Diluted Conc., µg/L</u>
Y4NC4	Trichlorofluoromethane	31	14
Y4NC4	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoromethane	79	30
Y4NC4	Tetrachloroethene	130	74
Y4NC5	Tetrachloroethene	120	84

- * The following results are qualified UJ or J (estimated) in Amended Table 1A due to low relative response factors (RRFs).
- Acetone in samples Y4NC3, Y4NC2DL, Y4NC4DL, Y4NC5DL, and Y4NC6DL; method blanks VBLKN6 and VBLKS6; and storage blank VHBLKS6
 - 2-Butanone in all samples, all method blanks, and storage blank VHBLKS6
 - 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane in samples Y4NB3DL, Y4NB4DL, Y4NC3, Y4NC2DL, Y4NC4DL, Y4NC5DL, and Y4NC6DL; method blanks VBLKW5, VBLKN6, and VBLKS6; and storage blank VHBLKS6
- RRFs <0.05 and >0.01 were reported for acetone, 2-butanone, and 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane in initial calibrations and continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) (Region 9 modification). Since qualified results are nondetected, false negatives may exist.

The R-flags for dichlorodifluoromethane, chloromethane, bromomethane, chloroethane, and carbon disulfide in sample Y4NB3 are sustained since the recovery for DMC chloroethane-d5 is <20.0% (18%).

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Blanks

Blanks		VOA_TRACE
VTLB11	The following trace volatile samples have common contaminant analyte concentrations reported less than 2x the CRQL. The associated method blank has common contaminant analyte concentration is less than 2x the concentration criteria. Detected compounds are qualified U. Nondetected compounds are not qualified. Reported sample concentrations have been elevated to the CRQL.	
	Methylene chloride Y4NC2DL, Y4NC3, Y4NC4DL, Y4NC5DL, Y4NC6DL	
Blanks		VOA_TRACE
VTLB44	The following trace volatile samples have common contaminant analyte concentrations reported less than 2x the CRQL. The associated storage blank has common contaminant analyte concentration is less than 2x the concentration criteria. Detected compounds are qualified U. Nondetected compounds are not qualified. Reported sample concentrations have been elevated to the CRQL.	
	Methylene chloride Y4NC2DL, Y4NC3, Y4NC4DL, Y4NC5DL, Y4NC6DL	

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Continuing Calibration Verification

Continuing Calibration Verification		VOA_SIM
VTC14	The following trace volatile samples are associated with a CCV with relative response factors (RRF50) outside criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified R.	
	VBLK6J, VBLKM6, VHBLKM6, Y4NB9, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC3, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC7	
	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane VSTD0.56J, VSTD0.5M6	
	VBLK6J, VBLKM6, VHBLKM6, Y4NB9, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC3, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC7	
Continuing Calibration Verification		VOA_TRACE
VTC8	The following trace volatile samples are associated with an opening or closing CCV percent difference (%D) outside criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified UJ.	
	VBLKV5, VBLKW5, Y4NB2, Y4NB3, Y4NB3DL, Y4NB4, Y4NB4DL, Y4NB5, Y4NB6, Y4NB7, Y4NB8, Y4NB9, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD, Y4NC7	
	Bromoform VSTD005W5	
	VBLKW5, Y4NB3DL, Y4NB4DL	
	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene VSTD005V5	
	VBLKV5, Y4NB2, Y4NB3, Y4NB4, Y4NB5, Y4NB6, Y4NB7, Y4NB8, Y4NB9, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD, Y4NC7	

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

DMC/Surrogate

DMC/Surrogate		VOA_TRACE
VTDSS2	The following volatile samples have DMC/SMC recoveries above the upper limit of the criteria window. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.	
	Y4NB3, Y4NB4, Y4NB5, Y4NB6, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD	
*	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2 Y4NB3, Y4NB4, Y4NB6, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD, <u>Y4NC6DL</u>	
	1,1-Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	
	Chloroform-d Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD	
	1,1-Dichloroethane, Bromochloromethane, Bromoform, Chloroform, Dibromochloromethane	
	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4 Y4NB5, Y4NC6, Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD	
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane, cis-1,3-Dichloropropene, trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	
DMC/Surrogate		VOA_TRACE
VTDSS3	The following trace volatile samples have one or more DMC/SMC recovery values is less than the primary lower limit but greater than or equal to the expanded lower limit of the criteria window. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified UJ.	
	Y4NB2, Y4NB4, Y4NC0	
	Chloroethane-d5 Y4NB2, Y4NB4	
	Bromomethane, Carbon disulfide, Chloroethane, Chloromethane, Dichlorodifluoromethane	
*	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2 Y4NB2, Y4NC0, <u>Y4NB3DL</u>	
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	
DMC/Surrogate		VOA_TRACE
VTDSS5	The following trace volatile samples have DMC/SMC recoveries below the expanded lower limit of the criteria window. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified R.	
	Chloroethane-d5 Y4NB3	
	Bromomethane, Carbon disulfide, Chloroethane, Chloromethane, Dichlorodifluoromethane	

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Detection Limit

Detection Limit	VOA_TRACE
VTDL1	The following volatile samples have analyte concentrations below the quantitation limit (CRQL). Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.
	VBLKN6, VBLKS6, Y4NB3DL, Y4NB4DL, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC2DL, Y4NC3, Y4NC4, Y4NC4DL, Y4NC5, Y4NC5DL, Y4NC6DL, Y4NC7
	Tetrachloroethene Y4NC0, Y4NC7
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Y4NB3DL, Y4NB4DL, Y4NC2, Y4NC2DL, Y4NC4DL, Y4NC5DL, Y4NC6DL
	Chloroform Y4NC2, Y4NC2DL
	Methylene chloride VBLKN6, VBLKS6, Y4NC2DL, Y4NC3
	1,1-Dichloroethane Y4NC4, Y4NC5
	Trichloroethene Y4NC0, Y4NC1

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Initial Calibration

Initial Calibration	VOA_SIM
VTC15	The following volatile samples are associated with an initial calibration with relative response factors (RRFs) outside criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified R.
	VBLK6J, VBLKJ6, VBLKM6, VHBLKM6, Y4NB2, Y4NB3, Y4NB4, Y4NB5, Y4NB6, Y4NB7, Y4NB8, Y4NB9, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC3, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC7
	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane VSTD0.05J6, VSTD0.1J6, VSTD0.5J6, VSTD1.0J6, VSTD2.0J6
	VBLK6J, VBLKJ6, VBLKM6, VHBLKM6, Y4NB2, Y4NB3, Y4NB4, Y4NB5, Y4NB6, Y4NB7, Y4NB8, Y4NB9, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC3, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC7

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

19:23 Wed, Apr 1, 2009

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Matrix Spikes

Matrix Spikes		VOA_TRACE
VTMS2	*	The relative percent difference (RPD) between the following volatile matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries is outside criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified <u>The RPDs for 1,1-dichloroethene and trichloroethene are not meaningful because sample concentrations (148 ug/L and 256, respectively) are much higher than the spike concentration of 5.0 ug/L.</u>
		1,1-Dichloroethene Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD
		Trichloroethene Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD
Matrix Spikes		VOA_TRACE
VTMS3	*	The following trace volatile matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate samples have percent recoveries greater than the upper acceptance criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified <u>The recoveries for 1,1-dichloroethene and trichloroethene are not meaningful because sample concentrations (148 ug/L and 256, respectively) are much higher than the spike concentration of 5.0 ug/L.</u>
		1,1-Dichloroethene Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD
		Trichloroethene Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD

<i>TIC</i>	<i>VOA_TRACE</i>
VTIC2	<i>A library search indicates a match below 85% for a TIC compound in the trace volatile sample. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.</i>
	<i>Unknown-01 Y4NB6</i>



ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300 Fax: (510) 412-2304

MEMORANDUM

TO: Lynda Deschambault, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 1, SFD-7-1

THROUGH: Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3

FROM: Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)

ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00405058

DATE: June 2, 2009

SUBJECT: Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

Site:	Omega Chem OU2
Site Account No.:	09 BC QB02
CERCLIS ID NO.:	CAD042245001
Case No.:	38275
SDG No.:	Y4Q41
Laboratory:	CompuChem (LIBRTY)
Analysis:	Trace Volatiles
Samples:	20 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)
Collection Date:	April 7, 2009
Reviewer:	April Martinez, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: Cynthia Gurley, CLP PO USEPA Region 4
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9

CLP PO: ☒ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☒ Yes ☐ No

00405058-10840/38275/Y4Q41-TV

Case No.: 38275
SDG No.: Y4Q41
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: CompuChem (LIBRTY)
Reviewer: April Martinez, ESAT/LDC
Date: June 2, 2009

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y4Q41 through Y4Q60
Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Water
Analysis: Trace Volatiles
SOW: SOM01.2
Collection Date: April 7, 2009
Sample Receipt Date: April 8, 2009
Extraction Date: Not Applicable
Analysis Date: April 16 and 17, 2009

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Y4Q62 and Y4Q68 (in SDG Y4Q61)
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not provided
Trip Blank (TB): Not provided
Background Samples (BG): Not provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Y4Q52 and Y4Q53
Field Duplicates (D2): Y4Q55 and Y4Q56

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:

VBLKDP:Y4Q41 through Y4Q45
VBLKJL: Y4Q46 through Y4Q57
VBLKBG:Y4Q59DL and Y4Q60DL
VBLKDU:Y4Q41DL, Y4Q46DL through Y4Q57DL, Y4Q58, Y4Q59,
Y4Q60
VBLKDW:Y4Q59MS, Y4Q59MSD
VBLKEA:storage blank VHBLKYA

Tables

- 1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
- 1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review
- 2: Calibration Summary

None.

CLP PO Attention

9. Detected results for (1) acetone in samples Y4Q41 through Y4Q49 and Y4Q53 through Y4Q59 and (2) 2-butanone in sample Y4Q51 are qualified as nondetected and estimated (U,J) due to method blank, storage blank, and field blank contamination (see Comment B).
10. Results for some analytes are qualified as estimated (J) due to calibration problems (see Comments C and D).
11. Results for some analytes are qualified as estimated (J) due to deuterated monitoring compound (DMC) recovery problems (see Comment E).

Sampling Issues

The detected result for 2-butanone in sample Y4Q51 is qualified as nondetected and estimated (U,J) due to field blank contamination (see Comment B).

Additional Comments

Other than laboratory artifacts (approximate retention times of 11.4 and 13.5 minutes), tentatively identified compounds (TICs) were found in samples Y4Q41, Y4Q42, Y4Q46 through Y4Q52, Y4Q57, Y4Q59, and Y4Q60 (see attached Form 1Js).

The laboratory performed manual integrations on calibrations due to incorrect auto integration. Manual integrations were reviewed and found to be satisfactory and in compliance with proper integration techniques.

Standard preparation logs are not included in the data package and cannot be evaluated. This information was requested from the laboratory but has not been received to date. Data are not qualified in this report due to missing standard preparation logs.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- *ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;*
- *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005;*

- *Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2, Amended April 11, 2007; and*
- *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008.*

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
29.	Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
30.	GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
31.	Initial Calibration	No	C
32.	Continuing Calibration Verification	No	C, D
33.	Laboratory Blanks	No	B
34.	Field Blanks	No	B
35.	Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	No	E
36.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	No	G
37.	Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate	N/A	
38.	Internal Standards	Yes	
39.	Compound Identification	Yes	
40.	COMPOUND QUANTITATION	YES	A, H, I
41.	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	YES	
42.	Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	No	F

N/A = Not Applicable

III. Validity AND Comments

A. The following results, denoted with an "L" qualifier, are estimated and flagged "J" in Table 1A.

- All detected results below the contract required quantitation limits

Results below the contract required quantitation limits (CRQLs) are considered to be qualitatively acceptable, but quantitatively unreliable, due to the uncertainty in analytical precision near the limit of detection.

B. The following results are qualified as nondetected and estimated due to method blank, storage blank, and field blank contamination and are flagged "U,J" in Table 1A.

- Acetone in samples Y4Q41 through Y4Q49 and Y4Q55 through Y4Q59
- 2-Butanone in sample Y4Q51

Acetone was found in method blanks VBLKDP, VBLKJL, and VBLKDU and storage blank VHBLKYA; 2-butanone was found in field blank Y4Q62 (see Table 1A for concentrations).

Results for the samples listed above are considered nondetected and estimated (U,J) and 6
quantitation limits have been raised according to blank qualification rules presented below.

No positive results are reported unless the concentration of the compound in the sample exceeds 10 times the amount in any associated blank for common laboratory contaminants or 5 times the amount for other compounds. If the sample result is greater than the CRQL, the quantitation limit is raised to the sample result and reported as nondetected. If the sample result is less than the CRQL, the result is reported as nondetected at the CRQL.

A laboratory method blank is laboratory reagent water or baked sand analyzed with all reagents, deuterated monitoring compounds, and internal standards and carried through the same sample preparation and analytical procedures as the field samples. The laboratory method blank is used to determine the level of contamination introduced by the laboratory during analysis.

A storage blank is laboratory reagent water stored in a vial in the same area as the field samples. The storage blank is used to determine the level of contamination introduced by the laboratory during sample storage prior to analysis.

A field blank is clean water prepared as a sample in the field by the sampler and shipped to the laboratory with the samples. A field blank is intended to detect contaminants that may have been introduced in the field, although any laboratory introduced contamination will be present. Contaminants that are found in the field blank which are absent in the laboratory method blank could be indicative of a field QC problem, a deficiency in the bottle preparation procedure, a difference in preparation of the laboratory and field blanks, or other indeterminate error.

- C. Results for the following analyte are qualified as estimated due to low RRFs in initial calibrations and continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

- Acetone in all samples, all method blanks, and storage blank VHBLKYA

RRFs were below the 0.05 validation criterion for acetone in initial calibrations and CCVs (see Table 2). Detected results for acetone should be considered as the minimum concentrations at which acetone is present in the samples. Where results are nondetected, false negatives may exist.

DMCs 2-butanone-d5 and 2-hexanone-d5 also had RRFs below the 0.05 validation criterion in the initial calibrations and CCVs (see Table 2). Quantitation of the analytes associated with these DMCs may have been affected by low RRFs (see attached Table 9 from the Functional Guidelines).

The RRF evaluates instrument sensitivity and is used in the quantitation of target analytes.

- D. Results for the following analytes are qualified as estimated due to large percent differences (%Ds) in CCVs and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

- Bromomethane in samples Y4Q46 through Y4Q57 and method blank VBLKJL

- 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane in method blank VBLKBG

7

%Ds of -37.3 % for bromomethane and -40.8 % for 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane were reported in 04/16/09 14:25 and 04/17/09 14:52 CCVs, respectively. These values exceeded the $\pm 30.0\%$ (bromomethane) and $\pm 40.0\%$ (1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane) validation criterion for opening CCVs.

DMC 1,1-Dichloroethene-d2 also had a %D that exceeded the $\pm 30.0\%$ validation criterion in the 04/16/09 14:25 CCV. Quantitation of the analytes associated with this DMC may have been affected by the high %D (see attached Table 9 from the Functional Guidelines).

The continuing calibration verification checks satisfactory performance of the instrument on a day-to-day basis.

E. Results for the following analytes are qualified as estimated due to DMC recoveries outside QC limits and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

{1,1-Dichloroethene-d2}

- cis-1,2-Dichloroethene in sample Y4Q44
- trans-1,2-Dichloroethene and cis-1,2-dichloroethene in samples Y4Q50, Y4Q51, Y4Q55, Y4Q56, and Y4Q59
- 1,1-Dichloroethene and cis-1,2-dichloroethene in sample Y4Q53
- 1,1-Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-dichloroethene and cis-1,2-dichloroethene in samples Y4Q46 through Y4Q49, Y4Q52, Y4Q54, Y4Q57, and Y4Q60

{Chloroform-d}

- 1,1-Dichloroethane in sample Y4Q59
- 1,1-Dichloroethane and chloroform in sample Y4Q60

DMC recoveries outside QC limits are shown below.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>DMC</u>	<u>% Recovery</u>	<u>QC Limit</u>
Y4Q53DL	Chloroethane-d5	54 71-131	
Y4Q54DL	Chloroethane-d5	66 71-131	
Y4Q42	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	107 55-104	
Y4Q44	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	109 55-104	
Y4Q46	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	848 55-104	
Y4Q46DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	118 55-104	
Y4Q47	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	1090 55-104	
Y4Q47DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	132 55-104	
Y4Q48	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	785 55-104	
Y4Q48DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	126 55-104	
Y4Q49	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	782 55-104	
Y4Q49DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	125 55-104	
Y4Q50	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	353 55-104	
Y4Q51	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	352 55-104	
<u>Sample</u>	<u>DMC</u>	<u>% Recovery</u>	<u>QC Limit</u>
Y4Q52	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	603 55-104	

Y4Q52DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	119	55-104
Y4Q53	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	507	55-104
Y4Q53DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	120	55-104
Y4Q54	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	471	55-104
Y4Q54DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	120	55-104
Y4Q55	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	273	55-104
Y4Q56	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	225	55-104
Y4Q57	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	468	55-104
Y4Q57DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	118	55-104
Y4Q59	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	704	55-104
Y4Q59MS	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	636	55-104
Y4Q59MSD	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	624	55-104
Y4Q60	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	386	55-104
Y4Q60DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	133	55-104
Y4Q59	Chloroform-d	149	78-121
Y4Q59MS	Chloroform-d	157	78-121
Y4Q59MSD	Chloroform-d	156	78-121
Y4Q60	Chloroform-d	126	78-121
Y4Q57DL	2-Hexanone-d5	138	28-135

Qualified results may be biased high. For DMC recoveries that exceeded QC limits, only detected results for associated analytes are qualified. The samples were not reanalyzed undiluted.

Surrogates (e.g., deuterated monitoring compounds (DMCs)) are organic compounds which are similar to the target analytes in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples. All samples are spiked with DMCs prior to purging. DMCs provide information about both the laboratory performance on individual samples and the possible effects of the sample matrix on the analytical results.

F. In the analysis of the field duplicate pair, the following outliers were reported.

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Y4Q55 (D2) Conc., ug/L</u>	<u>Y4Q56 (D2) Conc., ug/L</u>	<u>RPD (<25%)</u>
Trichlorofluoromethane	63	25	86
1,1-Dichloroethene	69	34	68
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroethane	170	79	73
Cyclohexane	1.7	0.40 J	N/A
Trichloroethene	250	140	56
Tetrachloroethene	110	63	54

The effect on data quality is not known.

The analysis of field duplicate samples is a measure of both field and analytical precision. The imprecision in the results of the analysis of the field duplicate pair may be due to the sample matrix or poor sampling or laboratory technique.

- G. The matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) recoveries for 1,1-dichloroethene and trichloroethene and relative percent difference (RPD) for 1,1-dichloroethene in QC samples Y4Q59MS and Y4Q59MSD did not meet the criteria for accuracy and precision specified in the SOW, as shown below. 9

<u>Analyte</u>	Y4Q59MS	Y4Q59MSD	<u>RPD</u>	<u>QC limits</u>		
	<u>% Recovery</u>	<u>% Recovery</u>		<u>RPD</u>	<u>% Recovery</u>	
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE		-23	30	1488	14	61-145
TRICHLOROETHENE		-1257	-1243	-----	---	71-120

THE RECOVERIES AND RPD ARE NOT MEANINGFUL BECAUSE CONCENTRATIONS OF 1,1-DICHLOROETHENE (140 UG/L) AND TRICHLOROETHENE (220 UG/L) IN SAMPLE Y4Q59 ARE SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER THAN THE SPIKE CONCENTRATION OF 5.0 UG/L.

Matrix spike sample analysis provides information about the effect of the sample matrix on sample preparation and measurement.

- H. Sample Y4Q41 was reanalyzed at a 1.7-fold dilution due to a high level of 1,2-dichloroethane that exceeded the calibration range. The result for 1,2-dichloroethane in sample Y4Q41 is reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

Samples Y4Q46, Y4Q47, Y4Q48, and Y4Q49 were reanalyzed at a 25-, 25-, 16.7-, and 16.7-fold dilutions, respectively, due to high levels of 1,1-dichloroethene, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in samples Y4Q46, Y4Q47, Y4Q48, and Y4Q49 are reported from the diluted analyses in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analyses.

Samples Y4Q50, Y4Q51, Y4Q55, Y4Q56, Y4Q57, and Y4Q60 were reanalyzed at 16.7-, 16.7-, 25-, 25-, 16.7-, and 8.3-fold dilutions, respectively, due to high levels of 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, trichlorofluoromethane, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in samples Y4Q50, Y4Q51, Y4Q55, Y4Q56, Y4Q57, and Y4Q60 are reported from the diluted analyses in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analyses.

Samples Y4Q52 and Y4Q54 were reanalyzed at 12.5-fold dilutions due to high levels of 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in samples Y4Q52 and Y4Q54 are reported from the diluted analyses in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analyses.

Sample Y4Q53 was reanalyzed at a 12.5-fold dilution due to high levels of 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, acetone, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in sample Y4Q53 are reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

Sample Y4Q59 was reanalyzed at a 41.7-fold dilution due to high levels of 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, trichlorofluoromethane, chloroform, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in sample Y4Q59 are reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis. 10

- I. Data users should note that the undiluted concentrations for trichloroethene and tetrachloroethene in the following samples are significantly higher than the diluted concentrations.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Undiluted Conc., µg/L</u>	<u>Diluted Conc., µg/L</u>
Y4Q51	Tetrachloroethene	130	97
Y4Q56	Trichloroethene	200	140
Y4Q56	Tetrachloroethene	110	63
Y4Q60	Trichloroethene	120	80

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," June 2008.

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.*
- L Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.*
- J The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).*
- NJ The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.*
- UJ The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.*
- R The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.*

Table 2
Calibration Summary

Case No.: 38275
SDG No.: Y4Q41
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: CompuChem
Reviewer: April Martinez, ESAT/LDC
Date: June 2, 2009

RELATIVE RESPONSE FACTORS (RRF)

	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>
Analysis date:	4/14/09	4/17/09	4/17/09
Analysis time:	15:34-	14:52	20:09
GC/MS I.D.:	5972hp73	5972hp73	5972hp73
<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Init.</u>	<u>CCV</u>	<u>CCV</u>
Acetone	0.045	0.037	0.045

	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>
Analysis date:	4/16/09	4/16/09	4/17/09	4/17/09
Analysis time:	04:45-	14:25	00:16	10:13
GC/MS I.D.:	5973hp90	5973hp90	5973hp90	5973hp90
<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Init.</u>	<u>CCV</u>	<u>CCV</u>	<u>CCV</u>
Acetone	0.024	0.030	0.023	0.036
2-Butanone-d5	-----	0.045	-----	-----
2-Hexanone-d5 0.040	0.041	-----	0.049	

	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>
Analysis date:	4/17/09	4/17/09	4/19/09	4/19/09
Analysis time:	14:27-	22:10	14:10	16:12
GC/MS I.D.:	5973hp90	5973hp90	5973hp90	5973hp90
<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Init.</u>	<u>CCV</u>	<u>CCV</u>	<u>CCV</u>
Acetone	0.041	0.023	0.035	0.042
2-Butanone-d5	0.045	0.046	0.045	0.044
2-Hexanone-d5 0.034	0.042	0.036	0.039	

ASSOCIATED SAMPLES AND METHOD BLANKS

Initial, 4/14/09: Samples Y4Q59DL and Y4Q60DL and method blank VBLKBG
CCV, 4/17/09 14:52 and 20:09:
Y4Q59DL and Y4Q60DL and VBLKBG

Initial, 4/16/09: Y4Q41 through Y4Q60, Y4Q41DL, Y4Q46DL through Y4Q57DL, VBLKDP,
VBLKJL and VBLKDU

CCV, 4/16/09 14:25: Y4Q41 through Y4Q45, VBLKDP, and VBLKDP

CCV, 4/17/09 00:16: Y4Q46 through Y4Q57, Y4Q41DL, Y4Q46DL through Y4Q57DL, 13
VBLKJL and VBLKDU
CCV, 4/17/09 10:13: Y4Q58 through Y4Q60, Y4Q41DL, Y4Q46DL through Y4Q57DL, and
VBLKDU

Initial, 4/17/09: Y4Q59MS, Y4Q59MSD, VBLKDW, VBLKEA and VHBLKYA
CCV, 4/17/09 22:10: Y4Q59MS, Y4Q59MSD and VBLKDW
CCV, 4/19/09 14:10 and 16:12:
VBLKEA and VHBLKYA

Table 9. Volatile Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMCs) and the Associated Target Compounds

Chloroethane-d ₅ (DMC)	1,2-Dichloropropane-d ₅ (DMC)	1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d ₄ (DMC)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	Cyclohexane	Chlorobenzene
Chloromethane	Methylcyclohexane	1,3-Dichlorobenzene
Bromomethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene
Chloroethane	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dichlorobenzene
Carbon disulfide		1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene
		1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d ₄ (DMC)	Chloroform-d (DMC)	2-Hexanone-d ₅ (DMC)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1,1-Dichloroethane	4-Methyl-2-pentanone
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	Bromochloromethane	2-Hexanone
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Chloroform	
	Dibromochloromethane	
	Bromoform	
2-Butanone-d ₅ (DMC)	1,1-Dichloroethene-d ₂ (DMC)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d ₂ (DMC)
Acetone	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane
2-Butanone	1,1-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	
Vinyl chloride-d ₃ (DMC)	Benzene-d ₆ (DMC)	Toluene-d ₈ (DMC)
Vinyl chloride	Benzene	Trichloroethene
		Toluene
		Tetrachloroethene
		Ethylbenzene
		o-Xylene
		m,p-Xylene
		Styrene
		Isopropylbenzene
1,2-Dichloroethane-d ₄ (DMC)		
Trichlorofluoromethane		
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane		
Methyl acetate		
Methylene chloride		
Methyl-tert-butyl ether		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		
Carbon tetrachloride		
1,2-Dibromoethane		
1,2-Dichloroethane		



ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

*Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300 Fax: (510) 412-2304*

MEMORANDUM

TO: *Lynda Deschambault, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 1, SFD-7-1*

THROUGH: *Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3*

FROM: *Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)*

*ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00405083*

DATE: *October 29, 2009*

SUBJECT: *Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3*

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

<i>Site:</i>	<i>Omega Chem OU2</i>
<i>Site Account No.:</i>	<i>09 BC QB02</i>
<i>CERCLIS ID NO.:</i>	<i>CAD042245001</i>
<i>Case No.:</i>	<i>38845</i>
<i>SDG No.:</i>	<i>Y4ZA6</i>
<i>Laboratory:</i>	<i>DataChem Laboratories, Inc. (DATAC)</i>
<i>Analysis:</i>	<i>Trace Volatiles</i>
<i>Samples:</i>	<i>20 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)</i>
<i>Collection Date:</i>	<i>September 1 through 3, 2009</i>
<i>Reviewer:</i>	<i>April Martinez, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants</i>

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: *Carol Beard, CLP PO USEPA Region 6
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9*

CLP PO: ☒ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Case No.: 38845
SDG No.: Y4ZA6
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: DataChem Laboratories, Inc. (DATAC)
Reviewer: April Martinez, ESAT/LDC
Date: October 29, 2009

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y4ZA6 through Y4ZC5
Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Water
Analysis: Trace Volatiles
SOW: SOM01.2
Collection Date: September 1 through 3, 2009
Sample Receipt Date: September 3 and 4, 2009
Extraction Date: Not Applicable
Analysis Date: September 8 through 10, 2009

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Y4ZA9 and Y4ZC5
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not provided
Trip Blanks (TB): Not provided
Background Samples (BG): Not provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Y4ZB7 and Y4ZB8

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:

VBLKT1: Y4ZA6, Y4ZA7, Y4ZA8, Y4ZB3, Y4ZB3MS, Y4ZB3MSD, Y4ZB3DL, Y4ZB5, Y4ZB6DL, Y4ZC0, Y4ZC4
VBLKT2: Y4ZA9 through Y4ZB2, Y4ZB4, Y4ZB5DL, Y4ZB8DL through Y4ZC2DL
VBLKT3: Y4ZB6 through Y4ZB9, Y4ZC1 through Y4ZC3, Y4ZC3DL, Y4ZC4DL, Y4ZC5; storage blank VHBLKT1

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review
2: Calibration Summary

CLP PO Action

None.

1. Detected results for (1) tetrachloroethene in samples Y4ZA6, Y4ZB1, Y4ZB4, and Y4ZC5 and (2) chloromethane in sample Y4ZB8 are qualified as nondetected and estimated (U,J) due to storage blank and field blank contamination (see Comment B).
2. Results for some analytes are qualified as estimated (J) due to low relative response factors (RRFs) in initial calibration and continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) (see Comment C).
3. Results for some analytes are qualified as estimated (J) due to high deuterated monitoring compound (DMC) recoveries (see Comment D).

Sampling Issues

1. *The detected result for chloromethane in sample Y4ZB8 is qualified as nondetected and estimated (U,J) due to a field blank contamination (see Comment B).*
2. *Samples Y4ZA6 through Y4ZB6 were received by the laboratory with a cooler temperature of 9°C, which exceeds the $4\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ sample preservation criterion. Since the cooler temperature is below 10°C, no adverse effect on data quality is expected.*
3. *The laboratory indicated on sample log-in sheets that the cooler temperature indicator bottle was absent from four of the five coolers (refer to pages 672 through 676 in the data package).*

Additional Comments

In addition to laboratory artifacts (approximate retention times of 11.4, 18.3, and 21.0 minutes), tentatively identified compounds (TICs) were found in samples Y4ZB5, Y4ZB6, Y4ZB9, and Y4ZC1 (see attached Form 1Js).

The laboratory performed manual integrations on calibrations and samples due to incorrect auto integration. Manual integrations were reviewed and found to be satisfactory and in compliance with proper integration techniques.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- *ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;*
- *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005;*
- *Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2, Amended April 11, 2007; and*
- *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008.*

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
43.	Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
44.	GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
45.	Initial Calibration	No	C
46.	Continuing Calibration Verification	No	C
47.	Laboratory Blanks	No	B
48.	Field Blanks	No	B
49.	Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	No	D
50.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	Yes	
51.	Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate	N/A	
52.	Internal Standards	Yes	
53.	Compound Identification	Yes	
54.	COMPOUND QUANTITATION	YES	A, E, F
55.	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	YES	
56.	Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	Yes	

N/A = Not Applicable

III. Validity AND Comments

A. *The following results, denoted with an "L" qualifier, are estimated and flagged "J" in Table 1A.*

- *All detected results below the contract required quantitation limits*

Results below the contract required quantitation limits (CRQLs) are considered to be qualitatively acceptable, but quantitatively unreliable, due to the uncertainty in analytical precision near the limit of detection.

B. *The following results are qualified as nondetected and estimated due to storage blank and field blank contamination and are flagged "U,J" in Table 1A.*

- *Tetrachloroethene in samples Y4ZA6, Y4ZB1, Y4ZB4, and Y4ZC5*
- *Chloromethane in sample Y4ZB8*

Tetrachloroethene was found in storage blank VHBLKT1 and chloromethane was found in field blank Y4ZC5 (see Table 1A for concentrations). Results for the samples listed above

are considered nondetected and estimated (U,J) and quantitation limits have been raised according to blank qualification rules presented below. 5

No positive results are reported unless the concentration of the compound in the sample exceeds 10 times the amount in any associated blank for common laboratory contaminants or 5 times the amount for other compounds. If the sample result is greater than the CRQL, the quantitation limit is raised to the sample result and reported as nondetected. If the sample result is less than the CRQL, the result is reported as nondetected at the CRQL.

A storage blank is laboratory reagent water stored in a vial in the same area as the field samples. The storage blank is used to determine the level of contamination introduced by the laboratory during sample storage prior to analysis.

A field blank is clean water prepared as a sample in the field by the sampler and shipped to the laboratory with the samples. A field blank is intended to detect contaminants that may have been introduced in the field, although any laboratory introduced contamination will be present. Contaminants that are found in the field blank which are absent in the laboratory method blank could be indicative of a field QC problem, a deficiency in the bottle preparation procedure, a difference in preparation of the laboratory and field blanks, or other indeterminate error.

C. Results for the following analytes are qualified as estimated due to low RRFs in initial calibration and CCVs and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

- Acetone in all samples, all method blanks, and storage blank VHBLKT1
- 2-Butanone in samples Y4ZA6, Y4ZA7, Y4ZA8, Y4ZB3, Y4ZB5, Y4ZC0, and Y4ZC4 and method blank VBLKT1

RRFs were below the 0.05 validation criterion for acetone in the initial calibration and CCVs and for 2-butanone in the CCVs (see Table 2). Detected results for acetone should be considered as the minimum concentrations at which it is present in the samples. Where results are nondetected, false negatives may exist.

DMCs 2-butanone-d5 and 2-hexanone-d5 also had RRFs below the 0.05 validation criterion in the CCVs (see Table 2). Quantitation of the analytes associated with these DMCs (acetone, 2-butanone, 4-methyl-2-pentanone, and 2-hexanone) may have been affected by low RRFs.

The RRF evaluates instrument sensitivity and is used in the quantitation of target analytes.

D. Detected results for the following analytes are qualified as estimated due to high DMC recoveries and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

{1,1-Dichloroethene-d2}

- trans-1,2-Dichloroethene and cis-1,2-dichloroethene in samples Y4ZB5 and Y4ZB6
- 1,1-Dichloroethene and cis-1,2-dichloroethene in samples Y4ZB7 and Y4ZC4
- 1,1-Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-dichloroethene, and cis-1,2-dichloroethene in samples Y4ZB9 and Y4ZC1

DMC recoveries outside QC limits are shown below.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>DMC</u>	<u>% Recovery</u>	<u>QC Limit</u>
---------------	------------	-------------------	-----------------

Y4ZB5	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	335	55-104
Y4ZB6	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	278	55-104
Y4ZB7	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	106	55-104
Y4ZB9	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	473	55-104
Y4ZB9DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	114	55-104
Y4ZC1	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	410	55-104
Y4ZC1DL	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	109	55-104
Y4ZC4	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	112	55-104

Qualified results may be biased high. For DMC recoveries that exceeded QC limits, only detected results for associated analytes are qualified. The samples were not reanalyzed undiluted.

Surrogates (e.g., deuterated monitoring compounds (DMCs)) are organic compounds which are similar to the target analytes in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples. All samples are spiked with DMCs prior to purging. DMCs provide information about both the laboratory performance on individual samples and the possible effects of the sample matrix on the analytical results.

- E. Samples Y4ZB3, Y4ZC0, and Y4ZC4 were reanalyzed at 5-, 2-, and 5-fold dilutions, respectively, due to high levels of trichloroethene and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in samples Y4ZB3, Y4ZC0, and Y4ZC4 are reported from the diluted analyses in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analyses.

Samples Y4ZB5, Y4ZB9, and Y4ZC1 were reanalyzed at 40-, 50-, and 50-fold dilutions, respectively, due to high levels of trichlorofluoromethane, 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in samples Y4ZB5, Y4ZB9, and Y4ZC1 are reported from the 40-, 50-, and 50-fold diluted analyses in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the 2-, 5-, and 5-fold diluted analyses.

Sample Y4ZB6 was reanalyzed at a 50-fold dilution due to high levels of 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in sample Y4ZB6 are reported from the 50-fold diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the 5-fold diluted analysis.

Sample Y4ZB7 was reanalyzed at a 10-fold dilution due to high levels of 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, trichloroethene, and tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in sample Y4ZB7 are reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

Sample Y4ZB8 was reanalyzed at a 10-fold dilution due to high levels of 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane and trichloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. Results for these analytes in sample Y4ZB8 are reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

Sample Y4ZC2 was reanalyzed at a 5-fold dilution due to a high level of trichloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. The result for trichloroethene in sample Y4ZC2 is reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

Sample Y4ZC3 was reanalyzed at a 5-fold dilution due to a high level of tetrachloroethene that exceeded the calibration range. The result for tetrachloroethene in sample Y4ZC3 is reported from the diluted analysis in Table 1A; results for other analytes are reported from the undiluted analysis.

- F. Sample Y4ZB5 was analyzed at a 2-fold dilution and samples Y4ZB6, Y4ZB9, and Y4ZC1 were analyzed at 5-fold dilutions due to high levels of target analytes. The CRQLs listed for these samples in Table 1A have been multiplied by the dilution factor.

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," June 2008.

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.*
- L Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.*
- J The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).*
- NJ The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.*
- UJ The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.*
- R The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.*

Table 2
Calibration Summary

Case No.: 38845
SDG No.: Y4ZA6
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: DataChem Laboratories, Inc.
Reviewer: April Martinez, ESAT/LDC
Date: October 29, 2009

RELATIVE RESPONSE FACTORS (RRF)

	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>
Analysis date:	8/25/09	9/08/09	9/08/09
Analysis time:	13:38-	12:06	20:48
GC/MS I.D.:	5971-M	5971-M	5971-M
<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Initial</u>	<u>CCV</u>	<u>CCV</u>
Acetone	0.029	0.028	0.021
2-Butanone	-----	-----	0.042
2-Butanone-d5	-----	-----	0.047
2-Hexanone-d5	-----	0.039	

	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>
Analysis date:	9/09/09	9/09/09	9/10/09	9/10/09
Analysis time:	11:23	19:47	11:47	20:55
GC/MS I.D.:	5971-M	5971-M	5971-M	5971-M
<u>Analyte</u>	<u>CCV</u>	<u>CCV</u>	<u>CCV</u>	<u>CCV</u>
Acetone	0.029	0.028	0.027	0.031
2-Hexanone-d5	-----	0.045	0.046	

ASSOCIATED SAMPLES AND METHOD BLANKS

Initial, 8/25/09: All samples, all method blanks, and storage blank VHBLKT1

CCVs, 9/08/09 12:06 and 20:48:

Y4ZA6, Y4ZA7, Y4ZA8, Y4ZB3, Y4ZB3MS, Y4ZB3MSD, Y4ZB3DL, Y4ZB5, Y4ZB6DL, Y4ZC0, Y4ZC4; VBLKT1

CCVs, 9/09/09 11:23 and 19:47:

Y4ZA9 through Y4ZB2, Y4ZB4, Y4ZB5DL, Y4ZB8DL through Y4ZC2DL; VBLKT2

CCVs, 9/10/09 11:47 and 20:55:

Y4ZB6 through Y4ZB9, Y4ZC1 through Y4ZC3, Y4ZC3DL, Y4ZC4DL, Y4ZC5; VHBLKT1, VBLKT3.



ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9

1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698

Phone: (510) 412-2300 Fax: (510) 412-2304

MEMORANDUM

TO: *Lynda Deschambault, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 1, SFD-7-1*

THROUGH: *Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3*

FROM: *Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)*

ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041

Technical Direction Form No.: 00405090 Amendment 2

DATE: *December 18, 2009*

SUBJECT: *Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3*

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

<i>Site:</i>	<i>Omega Chem OU2</i>
<i>Site Account No.:</i>	<i>09 BC QB02</i>
<i>CERCLIS ID NO.:</i>	<i>CAD042245001</i>
<i>Case No.:</i>	<i>38940</i>
<i>SDG No.:</i>	<i>Y5129</i>
<i>Laboratory:</i>	<i>KAP Technologies, Inc. (KAP)</i>
<i>Analysis:</i>	<i>Trace Volatiles</i>
<i>Samples:</i>	<i>2 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)</i>
<i>Collection Date:</i>	<i>September 15, 2009</i>
<i>Reviewer:</i>	<i>Santiago Lee, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants (LDC)</i>

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: *Ray Flores, CLP PO USEPA Region 6
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9*

CLP PO: ☒ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 38940
SDG No.: Y5129
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: KAP Technologies, Inc. (KAP)
Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC
Date: December 18, 2009

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y5129 and Y5130
Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Water
Analysis: Trace Volatiles
SOW: SOM01.2
Collection Date: September 15, 2009
Sample Receipt Date: September 17, 2009
Extraction Date: Not Applicable
Analysis Date: September 24, 2009

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Not provided
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not provided
Trip Blanks (TB): Not provided
Background Samples (BG): Not provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Not provided

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:
VBLK16: Y5129, Y5130, Y5129MS, Y5129MSD
VBLK67: Storage blank VHBLK01

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review

CLP PO Action

None.

CLP PO Attention

1. The detected result for methylene chloride in storage blank VHBLK01 is qualified as nondetected and estimated (U,J) due to method blank contamination (see Comment B).
2. Results for some analytes are qualified as estimated (J) due to large percent relative standard deviations (%RSDs) in initial calibrations (see Comment C).

Sampling Issues

1. The sampler signature is missing on the traffic report and chain of custody record (TR/COC) (refer to page 4 in the data package).
2. No sample was designated for "laboratory QC" on the TR/COC. The laboratory performed the matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis on sample Y5129.

Additional Comments

The DMC 2-hexanone-d5 had relative response factors (RRFs) below the 0.05 validation criterion in initial calibrations and continuing calibration verifications. Quantitation of the analytes associated with this DMC (4-methyl-2-pentanone and 2-hexanone) may have been affected by low RRFs.

In addition to laboratory artifacts (approximate retention times of 11.1, 12.0, and 16.1 minutes), tentatively identified compounds (TICs) were found in sample Y5130 (see attached Form 1J).

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005;
- Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2, Amended April 11, 2007; and
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008.

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
57.	Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
58.	GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	

59.	Initial Calibration	No	C
60.	Continuing Calibration Verification	Yes	
61.	Laboratory Blanks	No	B
62.	Field Blanks	N/A	
63.	Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	Yes	
64.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	Yes	
65.	Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate	N/A	
66.	Internal Standards	Yes	
67.	Compound Identification	Yes	
68.	Compound Quantitation	Yes	A
69.	System Performance	Yes	
70.	Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	N/A	

N/A = Not Applicable

III. Validity AND Comments

A. The following results, denoted with an "L" qualifier, are estimated and flagged "J" in Table 1A.

- All detected results below the contract required quantitation limits

Results below the contract required quantitation limits (CRQLs) are considered to be qualitatively acceptable, but quantitatively unreliable, due to the uncertainty in analytical precision near the limit of detection.

B. The following result is qualified as nondetected and estimated due to method blank contamination and is flagged "U,J" in Table 1A.

- Methylene chloride in storage blank VHBLK01

Methylene chloride was found in method blanks VBLK16 and VBLK67 (see Table 1A for concentrations). The result for methylene chloride in storage blank VHBLK01 is considered nondetected and estimated (U,J) and the quantitation limit has been raised according to blank qualification rules presented below.

No positive results are reported unless the concentration of the compound in the sample exceeds 10 times the amount in any associated blank for common laboratory contaminants or 5 times the amount for other compounds. If the sample result is greater than the CRQL, the quantitation limit is raised to the sample result and reported as nondetected. If the sample result is less than the CRQL, the result is reported as nondetected at the CRQL.

A laboratory method blank is laboratory reagent water or baked sand analyzed with all reagents, deuterated monitoring compounds, and internal standards and carried through

the same sample preparation and analytical procedures as the field samples. The laboratory method blank is used to determine the level of contamination introduced by the laboratory during analysis.

C. *Results for the following analytes are qualified as estimated due to large %RSDs in initial calibrations and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.*

- *Bromomethane in all samples, all method blanks, and storage blank VHBLK01*
- *cis-1,3-Dichloropropene in method blank VBLK67 and storage blank VHBLK01*

%RSDs of 31.0% and 35.3% were reported for bromomethane in 09/24/09 and 10/02/09 initial calibrations, respectively. An %RSD of 31.8% was reported for cis-1,3-dichloropropene in the 10/02/09 initial calibration. These values exceeded the $\leq 30.0\%$ validation criterion.

TABLE 1B

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," June 2008.

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.*
- L Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.*
- J The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).*
- NJ The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.*
- UJ The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.*
- R The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.*

1,2-Dibromoethane and 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane by Trace Volatiles Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM)



ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

*Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300; Fax: (510) 412-2304.*

MEMORANDUM

TO: *Chris Lichens, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 4, SFD-7-4*

THROUGH: *Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3*

FROM: *Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)*

*ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00105132*

DATE: *April 18, 2008*

SUBJECT: *Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3*

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

<i>Site:</i>	<i>Omega Chem OU2</i>
<i>Site Account No.:</i>	<i>09 BC QB02</i>
<i>CERCLIS ID NO.:</i>	<i>CAD042245001</i>
<i>Case No.:</i>	<i>37203</i>
<i>SDG No.:</i>	<i>Y3WK7</i>
<i>Laboratory:</i>	<i>Mitkem Laboratories (MITKEM)</i>
<i>Analysis:</i>	<i>1,2-Dibromoethane and 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane by Trace Volatiles Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM)</i>
<i>Samples:</i>	<i>20 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)</i>
<i>Collection Date:</i>	<i>February 28 and 29, 2008 and March 3, 2008</i>
<i>Reviewer:</i>	<i>Santiago Lee, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants (LDC)</i>

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: *Jennie Han-Liu, CLP PO USEPA Region 1*
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9

CLP PO: ☒ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 37203
SDG No.: Y3WK7
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: Mitkem Laboratories
Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC
Date: April 18, 2008

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y3WK7 through Y3WM6
Concentration and Matrix: Low/Medium Concentration Water
Analysis: 1,2-Dibromoethane and 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane by
Trace Volatiles SIM
SOW: SOM01.2
Collection Date: February 28 and 29, 2008 and March 3, 2008
Sample Receipt Date: February 29, 2008 and March 3 and 4, 2008
Extraction Date: Not Applicable
Analysis Date: March 4, 6, and 7, 2008

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Y3WM6
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not Provided
Trip Blanks (TB): Y3WL7
Background Samples (BG): Not Provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Y3WL2 and Y3WL3
Field Duplicates (D2): Y3WM4 and Y3WM5

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:
VBLKB2: Y3WK7 through Y3WL7
VBLKE2: Y3WL8 through Y3WM6
VBLKG2: storage blank VHBLKG2

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review
2: Calibration Summary

CLP PO Action

None.

CLP PO Attention

Results for 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane are qualified as estimated (J) due to low relative response factors (RRFs) in initial calibration and continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) (see Comment A).

Sampling Issues

None.

Additional Comments

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis was not performed by the laboratory. Consequently, matrix-specific accuracy and precision could not be evaluated.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, *Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages*;
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, *Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration*, SOM01.1, May 2005;
- *Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2*, Amended April 11, 2007; and
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, July 2007.

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
71.	Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
72.	GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
73.	Initial Calibration	No	A
74.	Continuing Calibration Verification	No	A
75.	Laboratory Blanks	Yes	
76.	Field Blanks	Yes	
77.	Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	Yes	
78.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	N/A	

79.	<i>Laboratory Control Samples/Duplicate</i>	<i>N/A</i>
80.	<i>Internal Standards</i>	<i>Yes</i>
81.	<i>Compound Identification</i>	<i>Yes</i>
82.	COMPOUND QUANTITATION	YES
83.	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	YES
84.	<i>Field Duplicate Sample Analysis</i>	<i>Yes</i>

N/A = Not Applicable

III. Validity AND Comments

A. *Results for the following analyte are qualified as estimated due to low RRFs in initial calibration and CCVs and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.*

- *1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane in all samples, all method blanks, and storage blank VHBLKG2*

An average RRF of 0.049 was reported for 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane in the initial calibration. RRFs were below the 0.05 validation criterion for 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane in CCVs (see Table 2). Since qualified results are nondetected, false negatives may exist.

The RRF evaluates instrument sensitivity and is used in the quantitation of target analytes.

TABLE 1B

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," July 2007.

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.*
- L Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.*
- J The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).*
- NJ The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.*
- UJ The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.*
- R The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.*

Table 2
Calibration Summary

Case No.: 37203
 SDG No.: Y3WK7
 Site: Omega Chem OU2
 Laboratory: Mitkem Laboratories
 Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC
 Date: April 18, 2008

RELATIVE RESPONSE FACTORS (RRF)

	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>	<u>RRF</u>
Analysis date:	03/04/08	03/06/08	03/06/08	03/07/08
Analysis time:	20:11	08:40	18:07	08:52
GC/MS I.D.:	V2	V2	V2	V2
<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Cont.</u>	<u>Cont.</u>	<u>Cont.</u>	<u>Cont.</u>
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane 0.048	0.036	0.046	0.046	



ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

*Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300; Fax: (510) 412-2304.*

MEMORANDUM

TO: *Lynda Deschambault, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 1, SFD-7-1*

THROUGH: *Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3*

FROM: *Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)*

*ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00405051*

DATE: *April 23, 2009*

SUBJECT: *Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3*

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

<i>Site:</i>	<i>Omega Chem OU2</i>
<i>Site Account No.:</i>	<i>09 BC QB02</i>
<i>CERCLIS ID NO.:</i>	<i>CAD042245001</i>
<i>Case No.:</i>	<i>38274</i>
<i>SDG No.:</i>	<i>Y4N51</i>
<i>Laboratory:</i>	<i>Mitekem Laboratories (MITKEM)</i>
<i>Analysis:</i>	<i>1,2-Dibromoethane and 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane by Trace Volatiles Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM)</i>
<i>Samples:</i>	<i>20 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)</i>
<i>Collection Date:</i>	<i>March 2 through 5, 2009</i>
<i>Reviewer:</i>	<i>Santiago Lee, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants (LDC)</i>

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: *Jennie Han-Liu, CLP PO USEPA Region 1*
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9

CLP PO: ☐ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 38274
SDG No.: Y4N51
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: Mitkem Laboratories
Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC
Date: April 23, 2009

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y4N51 through Y4N53, Y4N55 through Y4N70, and Y4N73
Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Water
Analysis: 1,2-Dibromoethane and 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane by Trace Volatiles SIM
SOW: SOM01.2
Collection Date: March 2 through 5, 2009
Sample Receipt Date: March 3 through 6, 2009
Extraction Date: Not Applicable
Analysis Date: March 11 and 12, 2009

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Y4N63 and Y4N67
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not Provided
Trip Blanks (TB): Not Provided
Background Samples (BG): Not Provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Y4N60 and Y4N61

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:
VBLK5X: Y4N51 through Y4N53, Y4N55 through Y4N67
VBLK5Y: Y4N68 through Y4N70, Y4N73; storage blank
VHBLK5Y

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review

CLP PO Action

None.

CLP PO Attention

None.

Sampling Issues

None.

Additional Comments

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis was not required. Consequently, matrix-specific accuracy and precision could not be evaluated.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- *ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;*
- *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005;*
- *Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2, Amended April 11, 2007; and*
- *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, July 2007.*

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
85.	Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
86.	GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
87.	Initial Calibration	Yes	
88.	Continuing Calibration Verification	Yes	
89.	Laboratory Blanks	Yes	
90.	Field Blanks	Yes	
91.	Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	Yes	
92.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	N/A	
93.	Laboratory Control Samples/Duplicate	N/A	
94.	Internal Standards	Yes	
95.	Compound Identification	Yes	

96.	COMPOUND QUANTITATION	YES	A
97.	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	YES	
98.	<i>Field Duplicate Sample Analysis</i>	<i>Yes</i>	

N/A = Not Applicable

III. Validity AND Comments

- A. *The laboratory reported a sample quantitation limit of 0.050 ug/L for 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane. However, the instrument response for the 0.050 ug/L initial calibration standard was only 89 area counts, which is very low (refer to quantitation report on page 661 in data package.) In the reviewer's professional judgment, the sample quantitation limit should be raised to 0.1 ug/L, the standard having a higher area count of 176 (refer to quantitation report on page 663 in data package.) Non-detected results are reported as 0.10U in Table 1A.*

TABLE 1B

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," July 2007.

- | | |
|----|--|
| U | <i>The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.</i> |
| L | <i>Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.</i> |
| J | <i>The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).</i> |
| NJ | <i>The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.</i> |
| UJ | <i>The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.</i> |
| R | <i>The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.</i> |

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Modified by ESAT. Changes (*) are based on hardcopy Tier 1A forms review (of VOA TRACE data only) and shown as ~~strickthrough~~ and **underline bold**. Reviewer: Santiago Lee (EPA Contract EPW06041, TDF 00405051, ICF International). Date: 05/11/09. DCN: 10724.

- * Results above calibration range, denoted by an "E" flag, are qualified J (estimated) in Amended Table 1A. Results from the diluted analyses should be used.

Data users should note that the diluted concentrations for some analytes in following samples are significantly lower than the undiluted concentrations.

<i>Sample</i>	<i>Analyte</i>	<i>Undiluted Conc., µg/L</i>	<i>Diluted Conc., µg/L</i>
<u>Y4N71</u>	<u>Tetrachloroethene</u>	<u>210</u>	<u>120</u>
<u>Y4N72</u>	<u>Tetrachloroethene</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>120</u>
<u>Y4N76</u>	<u>1,1,1-Trichloroethane</u>	<u>9100</u>	<u>820</u>
<u>Y4N76</u>	<u>Trichloroethene</u>	<u>11000</u>	<u>2000U</u>
<u>Y4N76</u>	<u>Tetrachloroethene</u>	<u>79000</u>	<u>49000</u>
<u>Y4N77</u>	<u>Tetrachloroethene</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Y4N81</u>	<u>Trichloroethene</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Y4N81</u>	<u>Tetrachloroethene</u>	<u>1800</u>	<u>540</u>
<u>Y4N83</u>	<u>Tetrachloroethene</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>25</u>

Samples Y4N85, Y4N86, and Y4N87 were received by the laboratory with a cooler temperature of 7°C which exceeds the 4+2°C sample preservation criterion. Since the cooler temperature is below 10°C, no adverse effect on data quality is expected.

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Blanks

Blanks		VOA_TRACE
VTLB15	The following trace volatile samples have common contaminant analyte concentrations reported greater than or equal to 4x the CRQL. The associated method blank has common contaminant analytes concentration is less than or equal to 2x the concentration criteria. Detected and nondetected compounds are not qualified.	
	Methylene chloride Y4N76	
Blanks		VOA_TRACE
VTLB48	The following trace volatile samples have common contaminant analyte concentrations reported greater than or equal to 4x the CRQL. The associated storage blank has common contaminant analytes concentration is less than or equal to 2x the concentration criteria. Detected and nondetected compounds are not qualified.	
	Methylene chloride Y4N76	

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

14:15 Tue, Mar 24, 2009

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Continuing Calibration Verification

Continuing Calibration Verification		VOA_TRACE
VTC8	The following trace volatile samples are associated with an opening or closing CCV percent difference (%D) outside criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified UJ.	
	VBLKC5, VBLKD5, Y4N71DL, Y4N72DL, Y4N74, Y4N75, Y4N76DL, Y4N77DL, Y4N78, Y4N79, Y4N80, Y4N82, Y4N83DL, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N86, Y4N87, Y4N88, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91	
	Bromomethane VSTD005C5, VSTD005D5	
	VBLKC5, VBLKD5, Y4N71DL, Y4N72DL, Y4N74, Y4N75, Y4N76DL, Y4N77DL, Y4N78, Y4N79, Y4N80, Y4N82, Y4N83DL, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N86, Y4N87, Y4N88, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91	

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

14:15 Tue, Mar 24, 2009

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

DMC/Surrogate

DMC/Surrogate	VOA_TRACE
VTDSS2	The following volatile samples have DMC/SMC recoveries above the upper limit of the criteria window. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.
	Y4N72, Y4N74, Y4N75, Y4N76, Y4N78, Y4N79, Y4N80, Y4N81, Y4N82, Y4N83, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N86, Y4N87, Y4N88, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91
	Benzene-d6 Y4N76, Y4N81
	Benzene
	Chloroethane-d5 Y4N76
	Bromomethane, Carbon disulfide, Chloroethane, Chloromethane, Dichlorodifluoromethane
	Toluene-d8 Y4N76, Y4N81
	Ethylbenzene, Isopropylbenzene, Styrene, Tetrachloroethene, Toluene, Trichloroethene, m,p-Xylene, o-Xylene
*	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2 Y4N74, Y4N75, Y4N76, Y4N78, Y4N79, Y4N80, Y4N81, Y4N82, Y4N83, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N86, Y4N87, Y4N88, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91, <u>Y4N71DL, Y4N72DL, Y4N76DL, Y4N77DL, Y4N83DL</u>
	1,1-Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2 Y4N81
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
	2-Hexanone-d5 Y4N76
	2-Hexanone, 4-Methyl-2-pentanone
	Vinyl chloride-d3 Y4N72, Y4N76, Y4N83, Y4N89
	Vinyl chloride
	Chloroform-d Y4N76
	1,1-Dichloroethane, Bromochloromethane, Bromoform, Chloroform, Dibromochloromethane
	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4 Y4N76, Y4N81
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane, cis-1,3-Dichloropropene, trans-1,3-Dichloropropene
	1,2-Dichloropropane-d6 Y4N76, Y4N81
	1,2-Dichloropropane, Bromodichloromethane, Cyclohexane, Methylcyclohexane
DMC/Surrogate	VOA_TRACE

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

14:15 Tue, Mar 24, 2009

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

DMC/Surrogate

VTDSS3	The following trace volatile samples have one or more DMC/SMC recovery values is less than the primary lower limit but greater than or equal to the expanded lower limit of the criteria window. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified UJ.
	Y4N76, Y4N77, Y4N78, Y4N82, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91
	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 Y4N77
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane, 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, 1,2-Dibromoethane, 1,2-Dichloroethane, Carbon tetrachloride, Methyl acetate, Methyl tert-butyl ether, Methylene chloride, Trichlorofluoromethane
*	Chloroethane-d5 Y4N77, Y4N78, <u>Y4N72DL</u>
	Bromomethane, Carbon disulfide, Chloroethane, Chloromethane, Dichlorodifluoromethane
*	1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Y4N78, Y4N82, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91, <u>Y4N76DL</u> , <u>Y4N83DL</u>
	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene, 1,2-Dichlorobenzene, 1,3-Dichlorobenzene, 1,4-Dichlorobenzene, Chlorobenzene
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2 Y4N76
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Detection Limit

Detection Limit	VOA_TRACE
VTDL1	The following volatile samples have analyte concentrations below the quantitation limit (CRQL). Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.
	VBLK5V, VBLKC5, VBLKD5, VHBLKG5, Y4N71, Y4N72, Y4N76DL, Y4N79, Y4N83, Y4N83DL, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N87, Y4N90
	Tetrachloroethene Y4N87
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Y4N85
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene Y4N72
	Methyl tert-butyl ether Y4N71, Y4N72
	Chloroform Y4N79, Y4N83DL, Y4N84
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane Y4N76DL
	Methylene chloride VBLK5V, VBLKC5, VBLKD5, VHBLKG5
	1,1-Dichloroethane Y4N71, Y4N72, Y4N83
	Trichloroethene Y4N90

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Initial Calibration

Initial Calibration	VOA_SIM
VTC15	The following volatile samples are associated with an initial calibration with relative response factors (RRFs) outside criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified R.
	VBLK5Y, VBLKJ5, VHBLKJ5, Y4N71, Y4N72, Y4N74, Y4N75, Y4N77, Y4N78, Y4N79, Y4N80, Y4N81, Y4N82, Y4N83, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N86, Y4N87, Y4N88, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91
	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane VSTD0.055X, VSTD0.05J5, VSTD0.15X, VSTD0.1J5, VSTD0.5J5, VSTD1.0J5, VSTD2.05X, VSTD2.0J5
	VBLKJ5, VHBLKJ5, Y4N82, Y4N83, Y4N84, Y4N85, Y4N86, Y4N87, Y4N88, Y4N89, Y4N90, Y4N91

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4N71

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 69891

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Internal Standard

Internal Standard		VOA_TRACE
VTIS3	*	The following volatile samples have internal standard area counts that are outside the upper limit of primary criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified <u>qualified J (Region 9 modification)</u> .
		<u>1,4-Difluorobenzene</u> Y4N77
Internal Standard		VOA_TRACE
VTIS31		The following trace volatile samples have internal standard area counts that are outside the lower limit of primary criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified R.
	*	<u>Chlorobenzene-d5</u> Y4N76, Y4N81
	*	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Y4N76
	*	<u>The following trace volatile samples have internal standard area counts that are outside the lower limit of primary criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified J (area counts >25%; Region 9 modification)</u> .
	*	<u>Chlorobenzene-d5</u> Y4N81
	*	<u>1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4</u> Y4N76

TIC		VOA_TRACE
VTTIC1	A library search indicates a match at or above 85% for a TIC compound in the trace volatile sample. Detected compounds are qualified NJ. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.	
	354-23-4 Y4N83	
TIC		VOA_TRACE
VTTIC2	A library search indicates a match below 85% for a TIC compound in the trace volatile sample. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.	
	Y4N71, Y4N72, Y4N76	
	Unknown-01 Y4N72, Y4N76	
	Unknown-02 Y4N71, Y4N76	
	Unknown-03 Y4N76	
	Unknown-04 Y4N76	
	Unknown-05 Y4N76	

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Modified by ESAT. Changes (*) are based on hardcopy Tier 1A forms review (of VOA_TRACE data only) and shown as ~~strikethrough~~ and **underline bold**. Reviewer: Santiago Lee (EPA Contract EPW06041, TDF 00405051, ICF International). Date: 05/11/09. DCN: 10725.

- * Results above calibration range, denoted by an "E" flag, are qualified J (estimated) in Amended Table 1A. Results from the diluted analyses should be used.

Data users should note that the diluted concentrations for some analytes in following samples are significantly lower than the undiluted concentrations.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Undiluted Conc., µg/L</u>	<u>Diluted Conc., µg/L</u>
Y4NC4	Trichlorofluoromethane	31	14
Y4NC4	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoromethane	79	30
Y4NC4	Tetrachloroethene	130	74
Y4NC5	Tetrachloroethene	120	84

- * The following results are qualified UJ or J (estimated) in Amended Table 1A due to low relative response factors (RRFs).
- Acetone in samples Y4NC3, Y4NC2DL, Y4NC4DL, Y4NC5DL, and Y4NC6DL; method blanks VBLKN6 and VBLKS6; and storage blank VHBLKS6
 - 2-Butanone in all samples, all method blanks, and storage blank VHBLKS6
 - 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane in samples Y4NB3DL, Y4NB4DL, Y4NC3, Y4NC2DL, Y4NC4DL, Y4NC5DL, and Y4NC6DL; method blanks VBLKW5, VBLKN6, and VBLKS6; and storage blank VHBLKS6
- RRFs <0.05 and >0.01 were reported for acetone, 2-butanone, and 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane in initial calibrations and continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) (Region 9 modification). Since qualified results are nondetected, false negatives may exist.

The R-flags for dichlorodifluoromethane, chloromethane, bromomethane, chloroethane, and carbon disulfide in sample Y4NB3 are sustained since the recovery for DMC chloroethane-d5 is <20.0% (18%).

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Blanks

Blanks		VOA_TRACE
VTLB11	The following trace volatile samples have common contaminant analyte concentrations reported less than 2x the CRQL. The associated method blank has common contaminant analyte concentration is less than 2x the concentration criteria. Detected compounds are qualified U. Nondetected compounds are not qualified. Reported sample concentrations have been elevated to the CRQL.	
	Methylene chloride Y4NC2DL, Y4NC3, Y4NC4DL, Y4NC5DL, Y4NC6DL	
Blanks		VOA_TRACE
VTLB44	The following trace volatile samples have common contaminant analyte concentrations reported less than 2x the CRQL. The associated storage blank has common contaminant analyte concentration is less than 2x the concentration criteria. Detected compounds are qualified U. Nondetected compounds are not qualified. Reported sample concentrations have been elevated to the CRQL.	
	Methylene chloride Y4NC2DL, Y4NC3, Y4NC4DL, Y4NC5DL, Y4NC6DL	

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

19:23 Wed, Apr 1, 2009

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Continuing Calibration Verification

Continuing Calibration Verification		VOA_SIM
VTC14	The following trace volatile samples are associated with a CCV with relative response factors (RRF50) outside criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified R.	
	VBLK6J, VBLKM6, VHBLKM6, Y4NB9, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC3, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC7	
	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane VSTD0.56J, VSTD0.5M6	
	VBLK6J, VBLKM6, VHBLKM6, Y4NB9, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC3, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC7	
Continuing Calibration Verification		VOA_TRACE
VTC8	The following trace volatile samples are associated with an opening or closing CCV percent difference (%D) outside criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified UJ.	
	VBLKV5, VBLKW5, Y4NB2, Y4NB3, Y4NB3DL, Y4NB4, Y4NB4DL, Y4NB5, Y4NB6, Y4NB7, Y4NB8, Y4NB9, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD, Y4NC7	
	Bromoform VSTD005W5	
	VBLKW5, Y4NB3DL, Y4NB4DL	
	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene VSTD005V5	
	VBLKV5, Y4NB2, Y4NB3, Y4NB4, Y4NB5, Y4NB6, Y4NB7, Y4NB8, Y4NB9, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD, Y4NC7	

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

DMC/Surrogate

DMC/Surrogate		VOA_TRACE
VTDSS2	The following volatile samples have DMC/SMC recoveries above the upper limit of the criteria window. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.	
	Y4NB3, Y4NB4, Y4NB5, Y4NB6, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD	
*	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2 Y4NB3, Y4NB4, Y4NB6, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD, <u>Y4NC6DL</u>	
	1,1-Dichloroethene, cis-1,2-Dichloroethene, trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	
	Chloroform-d Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD	
	1,1-Dichloroethane, Bromochloromethane, Bromoform, Chloroform, Dibromochloromethane	
	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4 Y4NB5, Y4NC6, Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD	
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane, cis-1,3-Dichloropropene, trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	
DMC/Surrogate		VOA_TRACE
VTDSS3	The following trace volatile samples have one or more DMC/SMC recovery values is less than the primary lower limit but greater than or equal to the expanded lower limit of the criteria window. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified UJ.	
	Y4NB2, Y4NB4, Y4NC0	
	Chloroethane-d5 Y4NB2, Y4NB4	
	Bromomethane, Carbon disulfide, Chloroethane, Chloromethane, Dichlorodifluoromethane	
*	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2 Y4NB2, Y4NC0, <u>Y4NB3DL</u>	
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane, 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	
DMC/Surrogate		VOA_TRACE
VTDSS5	The following trace volatile samples have DMC/SMC recoveries below the expanded lower limit of the criteria window. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified R.	
	Chloroethane-d5 Y4NB3	
	Bromomethane, Carbon disulfide, Chloroethane, Chloromethane, Dichlorodifluoromethane	

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Detection Limit

Detection Limit	VOA_TRACE
VTDL1	The following volatile samples have analyte concentrations below the quantitation limit (CRQL). Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.
	VBLKN6, VBLKS6, Y4NB3DL, Y4NB4DL, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC2DL, Y4NC3, Y4NC4, Y4NC4DL, Y4NC5, Y4NC5DL, Y4NC6DL, Y4NC7
	Tetrachloroethene Y4NC0, Y4NC7
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene Y4NB3DL, Y4NB4DL, Y4NC2, Y4NC2DL, Y4NC4DL, Y4NC5DL, Y4NC6DL
	Chloroform Y4NC2, Y4NC2DL
	Methylene chloride VBLKN6, VBLKS6, Y4NC2DL, Y4NC3
	1,1-Dichloroethane Y4NC4, Y4NC5
	Trichloroethene Y4NC0, Y4NC1

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

19:23 Wed, Apr 1, 2009

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Initial Calibration

Initial Calibration	VOA_SIM
VTC15	The following volatile samples are associated with an initial calibration with relative response factors (RRFs) outside criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are qualified R.
	VBLK6J, VBLKJ6, VBLKM6, VHBLKM6, Y4NB2, Y4NB3, Y4NB4, Y4NB5, Y4NB6, Y4NB7, Y4NB8, Y4NB9, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC3, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC7
	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane VSTD0.05J6, VSTD0.1J6, VSTD0.5J6, VSTD1.0J6, VSTD2.0J6
	VBLK6J, VBLKJ6, VBLKM6, VHBLKM6, Y4NB2, Y4NB3, Y4NB4, Y4NB5, Y4NB6, Y4NB7, Y4NB8, Y4NB9, Y4NC0, Y4NC1, Y4NC2, Y4NC3, Y4NC4, Y4NC5, Y4NC6, Y4NC7

National Functional Guidelines Report # 3

Lab MITKEM (Mitekem Corporation) SDG Y4NB2

Case 38274

Contract EPW05030

Region 9

DDTID 70218

SOW SOM01.2

Data Review Results

Matrix Spikes

Matrix Spikes		VOA_TRACE
VTMS2	*	The relative percent difference (RPD) between the following volatile matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries is outside criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified <u>The RPDs for 1,1-dichloroethene and trichloroethene are not meaningful because sample concentrations (148 ug/L and 256, respectively) are much higher than the spike concentration of 5.0 ug/L.</u>
		<u>1,1-Dichloroethene</u> Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD
		<u>Trichloroethene</u> Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD
Matrix Spikes		VOA_TRACE
VTMS3	*	The following trace volatile matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate samples have percent recoveries greater than the upper acceptance criteria. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified <u>The recoveries for 1,1-dichloroethene and trichloroethene are not meaningful because sample concentrations (148 ug/L and 256, respectively) are much higher than the spike concentration of 5.0 ug/L.</u>
		<u>1,1-Dichloroethene</u> Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD
		<u>Trichloroethene</u> Y4NC6MS, Y4NC6MSD

<i>TIC</i>	<i>VOA_TRACE</i>
VTIC2	<i>A library search indicates a match below 85% for a TIC compound in the trace volatile sample. Detected compounds are qualified J. Nondetected compounds are not qualified.</i>
	<i>Unknown-01</i> Y4NB6



ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

*Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300; Fax: (510) 412-2304.*

MEMORANDUM

TO: *Lynda Deschambault, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 1, SFD-7-1*

THROUGH: *Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3*

FROM: *Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)*

*ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00405058*

DATE: *June 1, 2009*

SUBJECT: *Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3*

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

<i>Site:</i>	<i>Omega Chem OU2</i>
<i>Site Account No.:</i>	<i>09 BC QB02</i>
<i>CERCLIS ID NO.:</i>	<i>CAD042245001</i>
<i>Case No.:</i>	<i>38275</i>
<i>SDG No.:</i>	<i>Y4Q41</i>
<i>Laboratory:</i>	<i>CompuChem (LIBRTY)</i>
<i>Analysis:</i>	<i>1,2-Dibromoethane and 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane by Trace Volatiles Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM)</i>
<i>Samples:</i>	<i>20 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)</i>
<i>Collection Date:</i>	<i>April 7, 2009</i>
<i>Reviewer:</i>	<i>Santiago Lee, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants (LDC)</i>

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: *Cynthia Gurley, CLP PO USEPA Region 4
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9*

CLP PO: ☒ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 38275
SDG No.: Y4Q41
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: CompuChem (LIBRTY)
Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC
Date: June 1, 2009

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y4Q41 through Y4Q60
Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Water
Analysis: 1,2-Dibromoethane and 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane by
Trace Volatiles SIM
SOW: SOM01.2
Collection Date: April 7, 2009
Sample Receipt Date: April 8, 2009
Extraction Date: Not Applicable
Analysis Date: April 15 and 16, 2009

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Y4Q62 and Y4Q68 (in SDG Y4Q61)
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not Provided
Trip Blanks (TB): Not Provided
Background Samples (BG): Not Provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Y4Q52 and Y4Q53
Field Duplicates (D2): Y4Q55 and Y4Q56

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:
VBLKJH: Y4Q42 through Y4Q45, Y4Q48 through Y4Q52
VBLKDO: Y4Q41, Y4Q46, Y4Q47, Y4Q53 through Y4Q55, Y4Q57,
Y4Q60
VBLKJK: Y4Q58, Y4Q59, Y4Q56; storage blank VHBLKYA

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review

CLP PO Action

None.

CLP PO Attention

Results for 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane in samples Y4Q42 through Y4Q45 and Y4Q48 through Y4Q52 are qualified as estimated (J) due to calibration problems (see Comments A and B).

Sampling Issues

None.

Additional Comments

The laboratory performed manual integrations on calibrations and samples due to incorrect auto integration. Manual integrations were reviewed and found to be satisfactory and in compliance with proper integration techniques.

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis was not required. Consequently, matrix-specific accuracy and precision could not be evaluated.

Standard preparation logs are not included in the data package and cannot be evaluated. This information was requested from the laboratory but has not been received to date. Data are not qualified in this report due to missing standard preparation logs.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- *ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;*
- *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005;*
- *Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2, Amended April 11, 2007; and*
- *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008.*

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
99. Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
100. GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
101. Initial Calibration	Yes	
102. Continuing Calibration Verification	No	A, B
103. Laboratory Blanks	Yes	

104.	Field Blanks	Yes	
105.	Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	Yes	
106.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	N/A	
107.	Laboratory Control Samples/Duplicate	N/A	
108.	Internal Standards	Yes	
109.	Compound Identification	Yes	
110.	COMPOUND QUANTITATION	YES	C
111.	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	YES	
112.	Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	Yes	

N/A = Not Applicable

III. Validity AND Comments

A. Results for the following analyte are qualified as estimated due to a low relative response factor (RRF) in a continuing calibration verification (CCV) and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

- 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane in samples Y4Q42 through Y4Q45 and Y4Q48 through Y4Q52 and method blank VBLKJH

A RRF of 0.037 was reported for 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane in the 04/15/09 17:12 CCV. Since results are nondetected, false negatives may exist.

The RRF evaluates instrument sensitivity and is used in the quantitation of target analytes.

B. Results for the following analyte are qualified as estimated due to a large %D in a CCV and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

- 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane in samples Y4Q42 through Y4Q45 and Y4Q48 through Y4Q52 and method blank VBLKJH

A %D of -43.5% was reported for 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane in the 04/15/09 17:12 CCV. This value exceeds the $\pm 40.0\%$ validation criterion for opening CCVs.

The continuing calibration verification checks satisfactory performance of the instrument on a day-to-day basis.

C. The laboratory reported a sample quantitation limit of 0.050 ug/L for 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane. However, the instrument response for the 0.050 ug/L initial calibration standard was only 53 area counts with a signal-to-noise ratio of less than 1:5, which are

very low (refer to pages 1103 and 1105 in data package). In the reviewer's professional judgment, the sample quantitation limit should be raised to 0.10 ug/L, the standard having a higher area count of 120 and a signal-to-noise ratio of greater than 1:5 (refer to pages 1111 and 1113 in data package). Non-detected results are reported as 0.10U in Table 1A.

TABLE 1B
DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," July 2007.

- U *The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.*

- L *Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.*

- J *The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).*

- NJ *The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.*

- UJ *The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.*

- R *The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.*



ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

*Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300 Fax: (510) 412-2304*

MEMORANDUM

TO: *Lynda Deschambault, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 1, SFD-7-1*

THROUGH: *Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3*

FROM: *Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)*

*ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00405090 Amendment 2*

DATE: *December 14, 2009*

SUBJECT: *Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3*

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

<i>Site:</i>	<i>Omega Chem OU2</i>
<i>Site Account No.:</i>	<i>09 BC QB02</i>
<i>CERCLIS ID NO.:</i>	<i>CAD042245001</i>
<i>Case No.:</i>	<i>38940</i>
<i>SDG No.:</i>	<i>Y5129</i>
<i>Laboratory:</i>	<i>KAP Technologies, Inc. (KAP)</i>
<i>Analysis:</i>	<i>1,2-Dibromoethane and 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane by Trace Volatiles Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM)</i>
<i>Samples:</i>	<i>2 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)</i>
<i>Collection Date:</i>	<i>September 15, 2009</i>
<i>Reviewer:</i>	<i>Santiago Lee, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants (LDC)</i>

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: *Ray Flores, CLP PO USEPA Region 6
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9*

CLP PO: ☐ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☒ Yes ☐ No

0905090-11619/38940/Y5129-TVSIM

Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 38940
SDG No.: Y5129
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: KAP Technologies, Inc. (KAP)
Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC
Date: December 14, 2009

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y5129 and Y5130
Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Water
Analysis: 1,2-Dibromoethane and 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane by
Trace Volatiles SIM
SOW: SOM01.2
Collection Date: September 15, 2009
Sample Receipt Date: September 17, 2009
Extraction Date: Not Applicable
Analysis Date: September 25, 2009

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Not provided
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not provided
Trip Blanks (TB): Not provided
Background Samples (BG): Not provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Not provided

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:
VBLK46: Y5129, Y5130; storage blank VHBLK02

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review

CLP PO Action

None.

CLP PO Attention

None.

Sampling Issues

1. The sampler signature is missing on the traffic report and chain of custody record (TR/COC) (refer to page 4 in the data package).
2. No sample was designated for "laboratory QC" on the TR/COC and the matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis was not performed. Consequently, the matrix-specific accuracy and precision could not be evaluated.

Additional Comments

The laboratory performed manual integrations on calibrations due to incorrect auto integration. Manual integrations were reviewed and found to be satisfactory and in compliance with proper integration techniques.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005;
- Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2, Amended April 11, 2007; and
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008.

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
113. Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
114. GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
115. Initial Calibration	Yes	
116. Continuing Calibration Verification	Yes	

117. <i>Laboratory Blanks</i>	<i>Yes</i>
118. <i>Field Blanks</i>	<i>N/A</i>
119. <i>Deuterated Monitoring Compounds</i>	<i>Yes</i>
120. <i>Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate</i>	<i>N/A</i>
121. <i>Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate</i>	<i>N/A</i>
122. <i>Internal Standards</i>	<i>Yes</i>
123. <i>Compound Identification</i>	<i>Yes</i>
124. <i>Compound Quantitation</i>	<i>Yes</i>
125. <i>System Performance</i>	<i>Yes</i>
126. <i>Field Duplicate Sample Analysis</i>	<i>N/A</i>

N/A = Not Applicable

III. OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF DATA

All method requirements specified in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.2 (April 2007) have been met. Results for analytes in the samples were reported correctly.

TABLE 1B
DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," June 2008.

- U *The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.*

- L *Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.*

- J *The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).*

- NJ *The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.*

- UJ *The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.*

- R *The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.*

1,4-Dioxane (Semivolatile)



ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

*Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300; Fax: (510) 412-2304.*

MEMORANDUM

TO: *Chris Lichens, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 4, SFD-7-4*

THROUGH: *Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3*

FROM: *Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)*

*ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00105132*

DATE: *April 7, 2008*

SUBJECT: *Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3*

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

<i>Site:</i>	<i>Omega Chem OU2</i>
<i>Site Account No.:</i>	<i>09 BC QB02</i>
<i>CERCLIS ID NO.:</i>	<i>CAD042245001</i>
<i>Case No.:</i>	<i>37203</i>
<i>SDG No.:</i>	<i>Y3WK7</i>
<i>Laboratory:</i>	<i>Mitkem Laboratories (MITKEM)</i>
<i>Analysis:</i>	<i>1,4-Dioxane (Semivolatile)</i>
<i>Samples:</i>	<i>18 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)</i>
<i>Collection Date:</i>	<i>February 28 and 29, 2008 and March 3, 2008</i>
<i>Reviewer:</i>	<i>Santiago Lee, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants (LDC)</i>

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: *Jennie Han-Liu, CLP PO USEPA Region 1*
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9

CLP PO: ☐ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☐ Yes ☒ No
Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 37203
SDG No.: Y3WK7
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: Mitkem Laboratories
Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC
Date: April 7, 2007

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y3WK7 through Y3WL6 and Y3WL8 through Y3WM5
Concentration and Matrix: Low/Medium Concentration Water
Analysis: 1,4-Dioxane (Semivolatile)
SOW: SOM01.2 and Modified Analysis 1363.6
Collection Date: February 28 and 29, 2008 and March 3, 2008
Sample Receipt Date: February 29, 2008 and March 3 and 4, 2008
Extraction Date: March 2, 3, and 5, 2008
Analysis Date: March 3, 4, and 7, 2008

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Not Provided
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not Provided
Background Samples (BG): Not Provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Y3WL2 and Y3WL3
Field Duplicates (D2): Y3WM4 and Y3WM5

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:
SBLK2N: Y3WK7
SBLK2O: Y3WK8 through Y3WL6
SBLK2P: Y3WL8 through Y3WM5

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review

CLP PO Action

None.

CLP PO Attention

None.

Sampling Issues

None.

Additional Comments

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis was not performed by the laboratory. Consequently, matrix-specific accuracy and precision could not be evaluated.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, *Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages*;
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, *Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration*, SOM01.1, May 2005;
- *Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2*, Amended April 11, 2007; and
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for *Superfund Organic Methods Data Review*, July 2007.

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
127. Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
128. GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
129. Initial Calibration	Yes	
130. Continuing Calibration Verification	Yes	
131. Laboratory Blanks	Yes	
132. Field Blanks	N/A	
133. Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	Yes	
134. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	N/A	
135. Laboratory Control Samples/Duplicate	N/A	
136. Internal Standards	Yes	
137. Compound Identification	Yes	
138. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	YES	A
139. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	YES	
140. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	Yes	

N/A = Not Applicable

III. Validity AND Comments

A. *The following results, denoted with an “L” qualifier, are estimated and flagged “J” in Table 1A.*

- All detected results below the contract required quantitation limits

Results below the contract required quantitation limits (CRQLs) are considered to be qualitatively acceptable, but quantitatively unreliable, due to the uncertainty in analytical precision near the limit of detection.

TABLE 1B
DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," January 2005.

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.*
- L Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.*
- J The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).*
- NJ The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.*
- UJ The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.*
- R The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.*

ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300; Fax: (510) 412-2304.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Lynda Deschambault, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 1, SFD-7-1

THROUGH: Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3

FROM: Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)

ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00405051

DATE: April 23, 2009

SUBJECT: Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

Site:	Omega Chem OU2
Site Account No.:	09 BC QB02
CERCLIS ID NO.:	CAD042245001
Case No.:	38274
SDG No.:	Y4N51
Laboratory:	Mitkem Laboratories (MITKEM)
Analysis:	1,4-Dioxane (Semivolatile)
Samples:	18 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)
Collection Date:	March 2 through 5, 2009
Reviewer:	Santiago Lee, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants (LDC)

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: Jennie Han-Liu, CLP PO USEPA Region 1
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9

CLP PO: ☐ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☐ Yes ☒ No

Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 38274
SDG No.: Y4N51
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: Mitkem Laboratories
Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC
Date: April 23, 2009

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y4N51 through Y4N53, Y4N55 through Y4N62, Y4N64 through Y4N66, Y4N68 through Y4N70, and Y4N73
Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Water
Analysis: 1,4-Dioxane (Semivolatile)
SOW: SOM01.2 and Modified Analysis 1679.2
Collection Date: March 2 through 5, 2009
Sample Receipt Date: March 3 through 6, 2009
Extraction Date: March 5 and 6, 2009
Analysis Date: March 9, 2009

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Not Provided
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not Provided
Background Samples (BG): Not Provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Y4N60 and Y4N61

1aboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:
SBLK4E: Y4N51 through Y4N53, Y4N55 through Y4N61
SBLK4F: Y4N62, Y4N64 through Y4N66, Y4N68 through Y4N70, Y4N73

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review

CLP PO Action

None.

CLP PO Attention

None.

Sampling Issues

None.

Additional Comments

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis was not required. Consequently, matrix-specific accuracy and precision could not be evaluated.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- *ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;*
- *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005;*
- *Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2, Amended April 11, 2007; and*
- *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, July 2007.*

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
141. Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
142. GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
143. Initial Calibration	Yes	
144. Continuing Calibration Verification	Yes	
145. Laboratory Blanks	Yes	
146. Field Blanks	N/A	
147. Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	Yes	
148. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	N/A	
149. Laboratory Control Samples/Duplicate	N/A	
150. Internal Standards	Yes	
151. Compound Identification	Yes	
152. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	YES	A
153. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	YES	
154. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	Yes	

N/A = Not Applicable

III. Validity AND Comments

A. *The following results, denoted with an “L” qualifier, are estimated and flagged “J” in Table 1A.*

- *All detected results below the contract required quantitation limits*

Results below the contract required quantitation limits (CRQLs) are considered to be qualitatively acceptable, but quantitatively unreliable, due to the uncertainty in analytical precision near the limit of detection.

TABLE 1B
DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," January 2005.

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.*
- L Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.*
- J The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).*
- NJ The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.*
- UJ The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.*
- R The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.*

ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300; Fax: (510) 412-2304.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Lynda Deschambault, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 1, SFD-7-1

THROUGH: Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3

FROM: Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)

ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00405083

DATE: October 27, 2009

SUBJECT: Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

Site:	Omega Chem OU2
Site Account No.:	09 BC QB02
CERCLIS ID NO.:	CAD042245001
Case No.:	38845
SDG No.:	Y4ZA6
Laboratory:	DataChem Laboratories, Inc. (DATAC)
Analysis:	1,4-Dioxane (Semivolatile)
Samples:	20 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)
Collection Date:	September 1 through 3, 2009
Reviewer:	Santiago Lee, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants (LDC)

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: Carol Beard, CLP PO USEPA Region 6

Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9

CLP PO: ☒ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☒ Yes ☐ No
Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 38845
SDG No.: Y4ZA6
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: DataChem Laboratories, Inc. (DATAC)
Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC
Date: October 27, 2009

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y4ZA6 through Y4ZC5
Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Water
Analysis: 1,4-Dioxane (Semivolatile)
SOW: SOM01.2 and Modified Analysis 1679.2
Collection Date: September 1 through 3, 2009
Sample Receipt Date: September 3 and 4, 2009
Extraction Date: September 8 and 14, 2009
Analysis Date: September 14 and 15, 2009

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Y4ZA9 and Y4ZC5
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not Provided
Background Samples (BG): Not Provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Y4ZB7 and Y4ZB8

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:
SBLK03: Y4ZA6 through Y4ZB0 and Y4ZB2 through Y4ZC5
SBLK06: Y4ZB1

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review

CLP PO Action

None.

CLP PO Attention

The result for 1,4-dioxane in sample Y4ZB1 is qualified as estimated (J) due to a holding time problem (see Comment B).

Sampling Issues

3. Samples Y4ZA6 through Y4ZB6 were received by the laboratory with a cooler temperature of 9°C which exceeds the $4 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ sample preservation criterion. Since the cooler temperature is below 20°C, no adverse effect on data quality is expected.
4. The laboratory indicated on sample log-in sheets that the cooler temperature indicator bottle was absent from four of the five coolers (refer to pages 672 through 676 in the data package).

Additional Comments

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis was not required. Consequently, matrix-specific accuracy and precision could not be evaluated.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005;
- Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2, Amended April 11, 2007; and
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008.

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
155. Holding Time/Preservation	No	B
156. GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
157. Initial Calibration	Yes	
158. Continuing Calibration Verification	Yes	
159. Laboratory Blanks	Yes	
160. Field Blanks	Yes	
161. Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	Yes	
162. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	N/A	
163. Laboratory Control Samples/Duplicate	N/A	
164. Internal Standards	Yes	
165. Compound Identification	Yes	
166. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	YES	A
167. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	YES	

168. *Field Duplicate Sample Analysis*

Yes

N/A = Not Applicable

III. **Validity AND Comments**

A. *The following results, denoted with an "L" qualifier, are estimated and flagged "J" in Table 1A.*

- *All detected results below the contract required quantitation limits*

Results below the contract required quantitation limits (CRQLs) are considered to be qualitatively acceptable, but quantitatively unreliable, due to the uncertainty in analytical precision near the limit of detection.

B. *The result for the following analyte is qualified as estimated due to missed technical holding time and is flagged "J" in Table 1A.*

- *1,4-Dioxane in sample Y4ZB1*

The extraction of sample Y4ZB1 exceeded the 7-day 40 CFR 136 (Clean Water Act) technical holding time for water samples as shown below.

<u>Exceeded</u>	<u>Sample</u>	<u>Date Collected</u>	<u>Date Extracted</u>	<u>No. of Days</u>
	Y4ZB1	09/02/09	09/14/09	5

Since the result is nondetected, a false negative may exist.

TABLE 1B
DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," June 2008.

- U *The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.*

- L *Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.*

- J *The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).*

- NJ *The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.*

- UJ *The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.*

- R *The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.*

Semivolatiles



ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

*Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300 Fax: (510) 412-2304*

MEMORANDUM

TO: *Lynda Deschambault, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 1, SFD-7-1*

THROUGH: *Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3*

FROM: *Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)*

*ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00405090 Amendment 2*

DATE: *December 23, 2009*

SUBJECT: *Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3*

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

<i>Site:</i>	<i>Omega Chem OU2</i>
<i>Site Account No.:</i>	<i>09 BC QB02</i>
<i>CERCLIS ID NO.:</i>	<i>CAD042245001</i>
<i>Case No.:</i>	<i>38940</i>
<i>SDG No.:</i>	<i>Y5129</i>
<i>Laboratory:</i>	<i>KAP Technologies, Inc. (KAP)</i>
<i>Analysis:</i>	<i>Semivolatiles</i>
<i>Samples:</i>	<i>2 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)</i>
<i>Collection Date:</i>	<i>September 15, 2009</i>
<i>Reviewer:</i>	<i>Santiago Lee, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants (LDC)</i>

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: *Ray Flores, CLP PO USEPA Region 6
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9*

CLP PO: ☒ Attention ☒ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 38940
SDG No.: Y5129
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: KAP Technologies, Inc. (KAP)
Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC
Date: December 23, 2009

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y5129 and Y5130
Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Water
Analysis: Semivolatiles
SOW: SOM01.2 and Modification Reference No. 1564.3
Collection Date: September 15, 2009
Sample Receipt Date: September 17, 2009
Extraction Date: September 20, 2009
Analysis Date: September 29, 2009 and October 6, 2009

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Not provided
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not provided
Background Samples (BG): Not provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Not provided

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:
SBLK27: Y5129, Y5130

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review

CLP PO Action

Nondetected results for 4-chloroaniline, hexachlorocyclopentadiene, and 3,3'-dichlorobenzidine in samples Y5129 and Y5130 and for 2-nitroaniline, 3-nitroaniline, 2,4-dinitrophenol, 4-nitrophenol, and 4-nitroaniline in sample Y5129 are qualified as rejected (R) due to very low deuterated monitoring compound (DMC) recoveries (<10%) (see Comment A).

CLP PO Attention

1. Results for some analytes are qualified as estimated (J) due to calibration problems (see Comments C and D).
2. Results for some analytes in sample Y5130 are qualified as estimated (J) due to high internal standard (IS) areas (see Comment E). Sampling Issues
3. The sampler signature is missing on the traffic report and chain of custody record (TR/COC) (refer to page 4 in the data package).
4. The matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis was not required. Consequently, the matrix-specific accuracy and precision could not be evaluated.

Additional Comments

Tentatively identified compounds (TICs) were found in samples Y5129 and Y5130 (see attached Form 1Ks).

The laboratory performed manual integrations on calibrations and samples due to incorrect auto integration. Manual integrations were reviewed and found to be satisfactory and in compliance with proper integration techniques.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005;
- Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2, Amended April 11, 2007; and
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008.

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
169. Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
170. GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
171. Initial Calibration	No	C
172. Continuing Calibration Verification	No	D

173. Laboratory Blanks	Yes	
174. Field Blanks	N/A	
175. Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	No	A
176. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	N/A	
177. Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate	N/A	
178. Internal Standards	No	E
179. Compound Identification	Yes	
180. COMPOUND QUANTITATION	YES	B
181. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	YES	
182. Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	N/A	

N/A = Not Applicable

III. Validity AND Comments

- A. *Nondetected results for the following analytes are qualified as rejected due to very low DMC recoveries and are flagged "R" in Table 1A.*

{4-Chloroaniline-d4}

- *4-Chloroaniline, hexachlorocyclopentadiene, and 3,3'-dichlorobenzidine in samples Y5129 and Y5130*

{4-Nitrophenol-d4}

- *2-Nitroaniline, 3-nitroaniline, 2,4-dinitrophenol, 4-nitrophenol, and 4-nitroaniline in sample Y5129*

Recoveries of 3% and 2% were reported for DMC 4-chloroaniline-d4 in samples Y5129 and Y5130, respectively. A recovery of 2% was reported for DMC 4-nitrophenol-d4 in sample Y5129. Samples were not reextracted. The extract for sample Y5130 was reanalyzed with similar results (4-chloroaniline-d4 recovery = 1%). Results from the original analysis of sample Y5130 are presented in Table 1A since the reanalysis results are similar.

Surrogates (e.g., deuterated monitoring compounds (DMCs)) are organic compounds which are similar to the target analytes in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples. All samples are spiked with DMCs prior to purging. DMCs provide information about both the laboratory performance on individual samples and the possible effects of the sample matrix on the analytical results.

- B. *The following results, denoted with an "L" qualifier, are estimated and flagged "J" in Table 1A.*

- *All detected results below the contract required quantitation limits*

Results below the contract required quantitation limits (CRQLs) are considered to be qualitatively acceptable, but quantitatively unreliable, due to the uncertainty in analytical precision near the limit of detection.

C. Results for the following analytes are qualified as estimated due to large percent relative standard deviations (%RSDs) in initial calibration and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

- 2,4-Dinitrotoluene and benzo(k)fluoranthene in samples Y5129 and Y5130

%RSDs of 31.0% and 20.1% were reported for 2,4-dinitrotoluene and benzo(k)fluoranthene, respectively, in the 09/28/09 initial calibration. These values exceeded the $\leq 20.0\%$ validation criterion.

D. Results for the following analyte are qualified as estimated due to a large percent difference (%D) in continuing calibration verification (CCV) and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

- Pentachlorophenol in Y5129 and Y5130

A %D of -31.5% was reported for pentachlorophenol in the 09/29/09 06:38 CCV. This value exceeded the $\pm 25.0\%$ validation criterion.

The continuing calibration checks the instrument performance daily and produces the relative response factors (RRFs) for target analytes that are used for quantitation.

E. Results for the following analytes are qualified as estimated due to high IS areas and are flagged "J" in Table 1A.

{Chrysene-d12}

- Pyrene, butylbenzylphthalate, 3,3'-dichlorobenzidine, benzo(a)anthracene, bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and chrysene in sample Y5130

{Perylene-d12}

- Di-n-octylphthalate, benzo(a)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(a)pyrene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, and benzo(g,h,i)perylene in sample Y5130

IS areas outside QC limits are shown below.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Internal Standard</u>	<u>Area</u>	<u>QC Limit</u>
Y5130	Chrysene-d12	46213004	10756140-21512280
Y5130	Perylene-d12	37858620	8365862-16731725
Y5130RE	Chrysene-d12	46354342	10756140-21512280
Y5130RE	Perylene-d12	38928795	8365862-16731725

Qualified results are considered quantitatively questionable. Sample Y5130 was not reextracted. The extract was reanalyzed with similar results. Results from the original analysis of sample Y5130 are presented in Table 1A since the reanalysis results are similar.

Data users should note that the result for 3,3'-dichlorobenzidine in sample Y5130 has been qualified as rejected (see Comment A).

Internal standards, introduced into every calibration standard, blank, sample, and QC sample, monitor changes in analyte response due to matrix effects and fluctuations in instrument sensitivity throughout the analytical sequence. Internal standards are used to quantitate the concentration of target analytes and surrogate standards.

TABLE 1B
DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," June 2008.

- U *The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.*

- L *Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.*

- J *The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).*

- NJ *The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.*

- UJ *The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.*

- R *The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.*

Semivolatiles Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM)



ICF international / Laboratory Data Consultants

*Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9
1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698
Phone: (510) 412-2300 Fax: (510) 412-2304*

MEMORANDUM

TO: *Lynda Deschambault, Remedial Project Manager
Site Cleanup Section 1, SFD-7-1*

THROUGH: *Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)
Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3*

FROM: *Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager
Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)*

*ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041
Technical Direction Form No.: 00405090 Amendment 2*

DATE: *December 14, 2009*

SUBJECT: *Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3*

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

<i>Site:</i>	<i>Omega Chem OU2</i>
<i>Site Account No.:</i>	<i>09 BC QB02</i>
<i>CERCLIS ID NO.:</i>	<i>CAD042245001</i>
<i>Case No.:</i>	<i>38940</i>
<i>SDG No.:</i>	<i>Y5129</i>
<i>Laboratory:</i>	<i>KAP Technologies, Inc. (KAP)</i>
<i>Analysis:</i>	<i>Semivolatiles Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM)</i>
<i>Samples:</i>	<i>2 Ground Water Samples (see Case Summary)</i>
<i>Collection Date:</i>	<i>September 15, 2009</i>
<i>Reviewer:</i>	<i>Santiago Lee, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants (LDC)</i>

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: *Ray Flores, CLP PO USEPA Region 6
Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9*

CLP PO: ☒ Attention ☐ Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 38940
SDG No.: Y5129
Site: Omega Chem OU2
Laboratory: KAP Technologies, Inc. (KAP)
Reviewer: Santiago Lee, ESAT/LDC
Date: December 14, 2009

I. Case Summary

Sample Information

Samples: Y5129 and Y5130
Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Water
Analysis: Semivolatiles SIM
SOW: SOM01.2
Collection Date: September 15, 2009
Sample Receipt Date: September 17, 2009
Extraction Date: September 20, 2009
Analysis Date: October 6, 2009

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Not provided
Equipment Blanks (EB): Not provided
Background Samples (BG): Not provided
Field Duplicates (D1): Not provided

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples:
SBLK27: Y5129 and Y5130

Tables

1A: Analytical Results with Qualifications
1B: Data Qualifier Definitions for Organic Data Review

CLP PO Action

None.

CLP PO Attention

Results for pentachlorophenol are qualified as estimated (J) due to low relative response factors (RRFs) in initial calibration and continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) (see Comment A).

Sampling Issues

1. The sampler signature is missing on the traffic report and chain of custody record (TR/COC) (refer to page 4 in the data package).
2. No sample was designated for "laboratory QC" on the TR/COC and the matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis was not performed. Consequently, the matrix-specific accuracy and precision could not be evaluated.

Additional Comments

The laboratory performed manual integrations on calibrations due to incorrect auto integration. Manual integrations were reviewed and found to be satisfactory and in compliance with proper integration techniques.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- ESAT Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 901, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services Volatile and Semivolatile Data Packages;
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analysis, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, SOM01.1, May 2005;
- Modifications Updating SOM01.1 to SOM01.2, Amended April 11, 2007; and
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, June 2008.

II. Validation Summary

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
183. Holding Time/Preservation	Yes	
184. GC/MS Tune/GC Performance	Yes	
185. Initial Calibration	No	A
186. Continuing Calibration Verification	No	A
187. Laboratory Blanks	Yes	

188.	Field Blanks	N/A
189.	Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	Yes
190.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	N/A
191.	Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate	N/A
192.	Internal Standards	Yes
193.	Compound Identification	Yes
194.	COMPOUND QUANTITATION	YES
195.	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	YES
196.	Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	N/A

N/A = Not Applicable

III. Validity AND Comments

A. Results for the following analyte are qualified as estimated due to low RRFs in initial calibration and CCVs and are flagged “J” in Table 1A.

- *Pentachlorophenol in samples Y5129 and Y5130 and method blank SBLK27*

An RRF of 0.0338 was reported for pentachlorophenol in the initial calibration. RRFs of 0.0390 and 0.0370 were reported for pentachlorophenol in 10/06/09 16:11 and 18:53 CCVs, respectively. These values are below the 0.050 validation criterion. Since qualified results are nondetected, false negatives may exist.

The RRF evaluates instrument sensitivity and is used in the quantitation of target analytes.

TABLE 1B
DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR ORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review," June 2008.

- U *The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method.*

- L *Indicates results which fall below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit. Results are estimated and are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of detection.*

- J *The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).*

- NJ *The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.*

- UJ *The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.*

- R *The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.*